A critical re-examination of $M^8 - H$ duality hypothesis: part II

M. Pitkänen

Email: matpitka6@gmail.com.
http://tgdtheory.com/.

January 1, 2021

Abstract

This article is the second part of a series papers representing a critical re-examination of $M^8 - H$ duality.This re-examination yielded several surprises. The first surprise was that space-time surfaces in M^8 must and can be co-associative so that they can be constructed also as as images of a map defined by local $G_{2,c}$ (octonionic automorphisms) transformation applied to co-associative sub-space M^4 of complexified octonions O_c in which the complexified octonion norm squared reduces to the real M^4 norm squared. An alternative manner to construct them would be as roots for the real part $Re_Q(P)$ of an octonionic algebraic continuation of a real polynomial P.

The outcome was an explicit solution expressing space-time surfaces in terms of ordinary roots of the real polynomial defining the octonionic polynomials. The equations for $Re_Q(P) = 0$ reduce to simultaneous roots of the real polynomials defined by the odd and even parts of P having interpretation as complex values of mass squared mapped to light-cone proper time constant surfaces in H.

The second surprise was that space-time surface in M^8 can be mapped to H as a whole so that the strong form of holography (SH) is not needed at the level of H being replaced with much stronger number theoretic holography at the level of M^8.

The third surprise was that octonionic Dirac equation as an analog of momentum space variant of ordinary Dirac equation forces the interpretation of M^8 as an analog of momentum space and Uncertainty Principle forces to modify the map $M^4 \subset M^8 \to M^4 \subset H$ from identification to inversion. One obtains both massless quarks and massive quarks corresponding to two different number-theoretically characterized phases.

This picture combined with zero energy ontology (ZEO) leads also to a view about the construction of the scattering amplitudes at the level of M^8 as analog of momentum space description of scattering amplitudes in quantum field theories. Local $G_{2,c}$ element has properties suggesting a Yangian symmetry assignable to string world sheets and possibly also partonic 2-surfaces. The representation of Yangian algebra using quark oscillator operators would allow to construct zero energy states at representing the scattering amplitudes. The physically allowed momenta would naturally correspond to algebraic integers in the extension of rationals defined by P. The co-associative space-time surfaces (unlike generic ones) allow infinite-cognitive representations making possible the realization of momentum conservation and on-mass-shell conditions.

The new view about $M^8 - H$ duality differs from the earlier one rather dramatically so that a summary of the differences is added to the end of paper.

Keywords: Octonions, quaternions, polynomials, (co-)associativity, minimal surfaces, branes, $M^8 - H$ duality.

Contents

1 Introduction

$M^8 - H$ duality [L6, L4, L5, L9] has become a cornerstone of quantum TGD but several aspects of this duality are still poorly understood. This article is a second part of the series of papers devoted to the critical re-consideration of $M^8 - H$ duality. In the first part the evolution of the idea was discussed and the arguments leading to a new view about $M^8 - H$ duality were represented. This re-examination yielded several surprises.

1. The first surprise was that space-time surfaces in M^8 must and can be co-associative so that they can be constructed also as as images of a map defined by local $G_{2,c}$ (octonionic automorphisms) transformation applied to co-associative sub-space M^4 of complexified octonions O_c in which the complexified octonion norm squared reduces to the real M^4 norm squared. An alternative manner to construct them would be as roots for the real part $Re_Q(P)$ of an octonionic algebraic continuation of a real polynomial P.

2. The outcome was an explicit solution expressing space-time surfaces in terms of ordinary roots of the real polynomial defining the octonionic polynomials. The equations for $Re_Q(P) = 0$ reduce to simultaneous roots of the real polynomials defined by the odd and even parts of P having interpretation as complex values of mass squared mapped to light-cone proper time constant surfaces in H.

3. The second surprise was that space-time surface in M^8 can be mapped to H as a whole so that the strong form of holography (SH) is not needed at the level of H being replaced with much stronger number theoretic holography at the level of M^8.

4. The third surprise was that octonionic Dirac equation as an analog of momentum space variant of the ordinary Dirac equation forces the interpretation of M^8 as an analog of momentum space and Uncertainty Principle forces to modify the map $M^4 \subset M^8 \rightarrow M^4 \subset H$ from identification to inversion. One obtains both massless quarks and massive quarks corresponding to two different number-theoretically characterized phases.

5. This picture inspires the question, whether one could construct scattering amplitudes also at the level of H using recipes similar to those used in the case of H [L12]. Here the appearance of $G_{2,c}$ maps defining trivial gauge fields having vanishing divergence when restricted to string world sheets suggests that Yangian symmetry associated with $G_{2,c}$ or its subgroup allows to construct scattering amplitudes - zero energy states- using co-product. The induction of M^8 spinor fields to the space-time surface would allow a realization of $G_{c,c}$ algebra as analog of Kac-Moody algebra but with non-negative conformal weights.

This picture combined with zero energy ontology (ZEO) [L7] leads also to a view about the construction of the scattering amplitudes at the level of M^8 as analog of momentum space description of scattering amplitudes in quantum field theories.

1. Cognitive representations are defined by points of M^8 with coordinates having values in the extensions of rational defined by P and allowing an interpretation as 4-momenta of quarks. In the generic case the cognitive representations are finite. If the points of M^8 correspond to quark momenta, momentum conservation is therefore expected to make the scattering trivial.

   However, a dramatic implication of the reduction of the co-associativity conditions to the vanishing of ordinary polynomials Y is that by the Lorentz invariance of roots of P, the space-time surface has an infinite number of points in a cognitive representation defined by points with coordinates having values in the extensions of rational defined by P and allowing an interpretation as 4-momenta. This is what makes interesting scattering amplitudes for massive quarks possible.

   The emergence of the common root would mean a kind of cognitive explosion for massive quark momenta. Without the symmetry one would have only forward scattering in the interior of X^4_r. Note that massless quarks can however arrive at the boundary of CD which also allows cognitive representation with infinite number of points.

2. In the number theoretic approach kinematics becomes a highly non-trivial part of the scattering. The physically allowed momenta would naturally correspond to algebraic integers in the extension E of rationals defined by P. Momentum conservation and on-mass-shell conditions together with the condition that momenta are algebraic integers in E are rather strong. The construction of Pythagorean squared generalize to the case of quaternions provides a general solutions to the conditions: the solutions to the conditions are combinations of momenta which correspond to squares of quaternions having algebraic integers as components.

3. Local $G_{2,c}$ element $g(x)$ defines a vanishing holomorphic gauge field and its restriction to string world sheet or partonic 2-surface defines conserved current. These properties suggest a Yangian symmetry assignable to string world sheets and partonic 2-surfaces. The representation of Yangian algebra using quark oscillator operators would allow to construct zero energy states at representing the scattering amplitudes. The generators of the Yangian algebra have a representation as Hamiltonians which are in involution. They define conserved charges at the orbits for a Hamiltonian evolution defined by any combination of these the Hamiltonians. ZEO suggests a concrete representation of this algebra in terms of quark and antiquark oscillator operators. This algebra extends also to super-algebra. The co-product of the associated Yangian would give rise to zero energy states defining as such the scattering amplitudes.

The new view about $M^8 - H$ duality differs from the earlier one rather dramatically so that a summary of the differences is added to the end of paper.

2 Can one construct scattering amplitudes also at the level of M^8?

$M^8 - H$ duality suggests that the construction is possible both at the level of H and M^8. These pictures would be based on differential geometry on one hand and algebraic geometry and number theory on the other hand. The challenge is to understand their relationship.

2.1 Intuitive picture

H picture is phenomenological but rather detailed and M^8 picture should be its pre-image under $M^8 - H$ duality. The following general questions can be raised.

1. Can one construct the counterparts of the scattering amplitudes also at the level of M^8?
2. Can one use $M^8 - H$ duality to map scattering diagrams in M^8 to the level of H?

Consider first the notions of CD and sub-CD.

1. The intuitive picture is that at the level of H that one must surround partonic vertices with sub-CDs, and assign the external light-like momenta with the ends of propagator lines from the boundaries of CD and other sub-CDs. The incoming momenta $\overline{p}^k$ would be assigned to the boundary of sub-CD.
2. What about the situation in M^8? Sub-CDs must have different origin in the general case since the momentum spectrum would be shifted. Therefore the sub-CDs have the same tip - either upper or lower tip, and have as their boundary part of either boundary of CD. A hierarchy of CDs associated with the same upper or lower tip is suggestive and the finite maximal size of CD in H gives IR cutoff and the finite maximal size of CD in M^8 gives UV cutoff.
3. Momentum conservation at the vertices in M^8 could decompose the diagram to sub-diagrams for which the momentum conservation is satisfied. On the basis of QFT experience, one expects that there are some minimal diagrams from which one can construct the diagram: in the TGD framework this diagram would describe 4-quark scattering. The condition that the momenta belong to the extension of rationals gives extremely strong constraints and it is not clear that one obtains any solutions to the conditions unless one poses some conditions on the polynomials assigned with the two boundaries of CD.

   The two half-cones (HCs) of CD contain space-time surfaces in M^8 as roots of polynomials $P_1(o)$ and $P_2(2T - o)$ which need not be identical. The simplest solution is $P_2(o) = P_1(2T - o)$: the space-time surfaces at HCs would be mirror images of each other. This gives $P_1(T, Im_R(o)) = P_1(T - Im_R(o))$ Since P_1 depends on $t^2 - r^2$ only, the condition is identically satisfied for both options.

   There are two options for the identification of the coordinate t.

   Option (a): t is identified as octonionic real coordinate o_R identified and also time coordinate as in the original option. In the recent option octonion o_R would correspond to the Euclidian analog of time coordinate. The breaking of symmetry from $SO(4)$ to $SO(3)$ would distinguish t as a Newtonian time. The M^4 projection of CD_8 gives a union of future and past directed light-cones with a common tip rather than CD_4 in M^4 at the level of M^8. Both incoming and outgoing momenta have the same origin automatically. This identification seems to be the natural one at the level of M^8.

   Option (b): t is identified as a Minkowski time coordinate associated with the imaginary unit I_1 in the canonical decomposition $\{I_1, iI_3, iI_5, iI_7\}$. The HC at $o = 0$ would be shifted to $O = (0, 2T, 0...0)$ and reverted. M^4 projection would give CD_4 so that this option is consistent with ZEO. This option is natural at the level of Hbut not at the level of M^8.

   If Option (a) is realized at the level of M^8 and Option b) at the level of H, as seems natural, a time translation of the past directed light-cone by T in $M^4 \subset H$ is required to give CD_4. The momentum spectra of the two HCs differ only by sign and at least a scattering diagram in which all points are involved is possible. In fact all the pairs of subsets with opposite momenta are allowed. These however correspond to a trivial scattering. The decomposition to say 4-vertices with common points involving momentum space propagator suggests a decomposition into sub-CDs. The smaller the sub-CDs at the tips of the CD, the smaller the momenta are and the better is the IR resolution.

4. The proposal has been that one has a hierarchy of discrete size scales for the CDs. Momentum conservation gives a constraint on the positions of quarks at the ends of propagator lines in M^8 mapped to a constraint for their images in H: the sum of image points in H is however not vanishing since inversion is not a linear map.

5. QFT intuition would suggest that at the level of M^8 the scattering diagrams decompose to sub-diagrams for which momentum conservation is separately satisfied. If two such sub-diagrams A and B have common momenta, they correspond to internal lines of the diagram involving local propagator D_p, whose non-local counterpart at the level of H connects the image point to corresponding point of all copies of B.

   The usual integral over the endpoint of the propagator line $D(x,y)$ at space-time level should correspond to a sum in which the H image of B is shifted in M^4. Introduction of a large number of copies of H image of the sub-diagram looks however extremely ugly and challenges the idea of starting from the QFT picture.

   What comes in mind is that all momenta allowed by cognitive representation and summing up to zero define the scattering amplitude as a kind of super-vertex and that Yanigian approach allows this construction.

2.2 How do the algebraic geometry in M^8 and the sub-manifold geometry in H relate?

Space-time surfaces in H have also Euclidian regions - in particular wormhole contacts - with induced metric having Euclidian signature due to the large CP_2 contribution to the induced metric. They are separated from Minkowskian regions by a light-like 3-surfaces identifiable as partonic orbits at which the induced metric becomes degenerate.

1. The possible M^8 counterparts of these regions are expected to have Euclidian signature of the number theoretic metric defined by complexified octonion inner product, which must be real in these regions so that the coordinates for the canonical basis $\{I_1, iI_3, iI_5, iI_7\}$ are either imaginary or real. This allows several signatures.

2. The first guess is that the energy p^0 assignable to I_1 becomes imaginary. This gives tachyonic p^2. The second guess is that all components of 3-momentum $\{iI_3, iI_5, iI_7\}$ become imaginary meaning that the length of 3-momentum becomes imaginary.

3. One cannot exclude the other signatures, for instance the situation in which 1 or 2 components of the 3-momentum become imaginary. Hence the transition could occur in 3 steps as $(1,-1,-1-,1) \rightarrow (1,1,-1,-1) \rightarrow (1,1,1,-1) \rightarrow (1,1,1,1)$. The values of $p^2 \equiv Re(p_c^2)$ would be non-negative and also their images in $M^4 \subset H$ would be inside future light-cone. This could relate to the fact that all these signatures are possible inthe twistor Grassmannian approach.

4. These regions belong to the complex mass shell $p_c^2 = r_n = m_0^2 = r_n$ appearing as a root to the co-associativity condition $X = 0$. This gives the conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
Re(p_c) \cdot Im(p_c^2) &= Im(r_n) \ , \\
Re(p_c^2) \equiv p^2 &= Im(p_c^2) + m_n^2 \ , \\
m_n^2 &\equiv Re(r_n) \geq 0 \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.1}
$$

Consider first the case $(1,1,1,1)$.

1. The components of p_c are either real or imaginary. Using the canonical basis $\{I_1, iI_3, iI_5, iI_7\}$ the components of p_c are real in the Minkowskian region and imaginary in the totally time-like Euclidian region. One has for the totally time-like momentum $p = (p_0, iIm(p_3))$ in the canonical basis.

   This would give

$$Re(p_c^2) \equiv p^2 = p_0^2 = -Im(p_3)^2 + m_n^2 \quad . \tag{2.2}$$

   The number theoretic metric is Euclidian and totally time-like but one has $p^2 \geq 0$ in the range $[m_0^2, 0]$. This region is a natural counterpart for an Euclidian space-time region in H. The region $p^2 \geq m_0^2$ has Minkowskian signature and counterpart for Minkowskian regions in H. The region $0 \leq p^2 < m_0^2$ is a natural candidate for an Euclidian region in M^4.

   Remark: A possible objection is that Euclidian regions in O_c are totally time-like and totally space-like in H.

2. The image of these regions under the map $Re(p^k) \rightarrow M^k$ under inversion plus octonionic conjugation defined as $p^k \rightarrow \hbar_{eff}\overline{p^k}/p^2$ (to be discussed in more detail in the sequel) consists of points M^k in the future light-cone of $M^4 \subset H$. The image of the real Euclidian region of O_c with $p^2 \in [0, m_0^2)$ is mapped to the region $M^k M_k < \hbar_{eff}^2/m_0^2$ of $M^4 \subset H$.

3. The contribution of CP_2 metric to the induced metric is space-like so that it can become Euclidian. This would naturally occur in the image of a totally time-like Euclidian region and this region would correspond to small scales $M^k M_k < \hbar_{eff}^2/m_0^2$. The change of the signature should take place at the orbits of partonic 2-surfaces and the argument does not say anything about this. The boundary of between the two regions corresponds to momenta $p = (p_0, 0)$ which is is a time-like line perhaps identifiable as the analog of the light-like geodesic defining the M^4 projection of CP_2 type extremal, which is an idealized solution to actual field equations.

This transition does not explain the M^8 counterpart of the 3-D light-like partonic orbit to which the light-light geodesic thickens in the real situation?

The above argument works also for the other signatures of co-associative real sub-spaces and provides additional insights. Besides the Minkowskian signature, 3 different situations with signatures $(1,1,1,1)$, $(1,-1,1,1)$, and $(1,-1-1,1)$ with non-space-like momentum squared are possible.

The following formulas list the signatures, the expressions of real momentum squared, and dimension D of the transition transition $Im(p_c^2) = 0$ as generalization of partonic orbit and the possible identification of the transition region.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\textbf{Signature} & p^2, & D \\
(+,-,-,+): & (p^0)^2 - (p^1)^2 - (p^2)^2 = -Im(p^3)^2 + m_n^2 & 3 \ , \\
\textbf{Identification} & \text{partonic orbit} & . \\
 & & \\
\textbf{Signature} & p^2 & D \\
(+,-,+,+): & (p^0)^2 - (p^1)^2 = -Im(p^2)^2 - Im(p^3)^2 + m_n^2 \ , & 2 \ , \\
\textbf{Identification} & \text{string world sheet} & . \\
 & & \\
\textbf{Signature} & p^2 & D \\
(+,+,+,+): & (p^0)^2 = -Im(p^1)^2 - Im(p^2)^2 - Im(p^3)^2 + m_n^2 \ , & 1 \ . \\
\textbf{Identification} & \text{string boundary} & .
\end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

Since the map of the co-associative normal space to CP_2 does not depend on the signature, $M^8 - H$ duality is well defined for all these signatures. One can ask whether a single transition creates partonic orbit, two transitions a string world sheet and 3 transitions ends of string world sheet inside partonic orbit or even outside it.

2.3 Quantization of octonionic spinors

There are questions related to the quantization of octonionic spinors.

1. Co-associative gamma matrices identified as octonion units are associative with respect to their octonionic product so that matrix representation is possible. Do second quantized octonionic spinors in M^8 make sense? Is it enough to second quantize them in M^4 as induced octonionic spinors? Are the anti-commutators of oscillator operators Kronecker deltas or delta functions in which case divergence difficulties might be encountered? This is not needed since the momentum space propagators can be identified as those for E^8_c restricted to X^4_r as a subspace with real octonion norm.

   The propagators are just massless Dirac propagators for the choice of M^4 for which light-like M^8 momentum reduces to M^4 momentum. Could one formulate the scattering amplitudes using only massless inverse propagators as in the twistor Grassmannian approach?This does not seem to be the case.

2. Could the counterpart of quark propagator as inverse propagator in M^8 as the idea about defining momentum space integrals as residue integrals would suggest? This would allow on-mass-shell propagation like in twistor diagrams and would conform with the idea that inversion relates M^8 and H descriptions. This is suggested by the fact that no integration over intermediate virtual momenta appears in the graphs defined by the algebraic points of the pre-images of the partonic 2-surfaces X^2_r .

How to identify external quarks? Note that bosons would consist of correlated quark-antiquark pairs with the propagator obtained as a convolution of quark propagators. The correlation would be present for the external states and possibly also for the states in the diagram and produced by topologically.

1. The polynomial P and the $P = 0$ surface with 6-D real projection X^6_r is not affected by octonion automorphisms. Quarks with different states of motion would correspond to the same P but to different choices of M^4 as co-associative subspace for M^8_c. P could be seen as defining a class of scattering diagrams. P determines the vertices.

2. The space-time surface associated with a quark carrying given 4-momentum should be obtainable by a Lorenz transformation in $SO(3,1) \subset G_{2,c}$ to give it light-like M^4 so that complexified octonionic automorphisms would generate 3-surfaces representing particles. If $M^4 \subset M^8$ and thus the CD associated with the quark is chosen suitably, the quark is massless. Any incoming particle would be massless in this frame.

   Lorentz invariance however requires a common Lorentz frame provided by the CD. The momentum of a quark in CD would be obtained by $G_{2,c}$ transformation. In the frame of CD the external quark momenta arriving to the interior of CD at vertices associated with $X^3_r \cap Y^3_r$ are time-like. Momentum conservation would hold in this frame. The difference between massive constituent quarks and massless current quarks could be understood as reflecting M^8 picture.

To sum up, the resulting picture is similar to that at the level of H these diagrammatic structures would be mapped to H by momentum inversion. Quantum classical correspondence would be very detailed providing both configuration space and momentum space pictures.

2.4 Does $M^8 - H$ duality relate momentum space and space-time representations of scattering amplitudes?

It would seem that the construction of the scattering amplitudes is possible also at the level of M^8 [L12]. M^8 picture would provide momentum representation of scattering diagrams whereas H picture would provide the space-time representation.

Consider first a possible generalization of QFT picture involving propagators and vertices.

1. At first it seems that it is not possible to talk about propagation at the level of momentum space: in positive energy ontology nothing propagates in momentum space if the propagator

is a free propagator D_p! In ZEO this is not quite so. One can regard annihilation operators as creation operators for the fermionic vacuum associated with the opposite HC of CD (or sub-CD): one has momentum space propagation from p to $-p$! The expressions of bosonic charges would be indeed bi-local with annihilation and creation operators associated with the mirror paired points in the two HCs of CD forming pairs. The momentum space propagator D_p would actually result from the pairing of creation creation operators with the opposite values of p and the notation $D(p,-p)$ would be more appropriate.

2. In QFT interaction vertices are local in space-time but non-local in momentum space. The n-vertex conserves the total momentum. Therefore one should just select points of M^8 and they are indeed selected by cognitive representation and assign scattering amplitude to this set of points. To each point one could assign momentum space propagator of quark in M^8_c but it would not represent propagation! The vertex would be a multilocal entity defined by the vertices defining the masses involved at light cone boundary and mass shells.

   The challenge would be to identify these vertices as poly-local entities. In the QFT picture there would be a set of n-vertices with some momenta common. What could this mean now? One would have subset sets of momenta summing up to zero as vertices. If two subsets have a common momentum this would correspond to a propagator line connecting them. Should one decompose the points of cognitive representation so that it represents momentum space variant of Feynman graph? How unique this decomposition is and do this kind of decompositions exist unless one poses the condition that the total momenta associated with opposite boundaries sum up to zero as done in ZEO. A given n-vertex in the decomposition means the presence of sub-CDs for which the external momenta sum up to zero. This poses very tight constraints on the cognitive representation, and one can wonder they can be satisfied if the cognitive representation is finite as it is in the generic case.

3. Note that for given a polynomial P allowing only points in cognitive representation, one would *not* have momentum space integrations as in QFT: they could however come from integrations over the polynomial coefficients and would correspond to integration of WCW. In adelic picture one allows only rational coefficients for the polynomials. This strongly suggests that the twistor Grasmmannian picture [B4, B5, B9, B1] in which residue integral in the momentum space gives as residues inverse quark propagators at the poles. M^8 picture would represent the end result of this integration and only on mass shell quarks would be involved. One could even challenge the picture based on propagators and vertices and start from Yangian algebra based on the generalization of local symmetries to multilocal symmetries [A5, A6] [B2] [L3].

4. In the case of H restriction of the second quantized free quark field of H to space-time surface defines the propagators. In the recent case one would have a second quantized octonionic spinor field in M^8. The allowed modes of H spinor field are just the co-associative modes for fixed selection of M^4 analogous to momentum space spinors and restricted to Y^3_r. One could speak of wave functions at Y^3_r, which is very natural since they correspond to mass shells.

   The induced spinor field would have massless part corresponding to wave functions at the M^4 light-cone boundary and part corresponding to X^3 at which the modes would have definite mass. $P = 0$ would select a discrete set of masses. Could second quantization have the standard meaning in terms of anti-commutation relations posed on a free M^8 spinor field. In the case of M^8_c one avoids normal ordering problems since there is no Dirac action. The anti-commutators however have singularities of type 7-D delta function. The anti-commutators of oscillator operators at the same point are the problem. If only a single quark oscillator operator at a given point of M^8 is allowed since there is no local action in coordinate space with the interaction part producing the usual troubles.

5. Could one perform a second quantization for E^8 spinor field using free Dirac action? Could one restrict the expansion of the spinor field to co-associative space-time surfaces giving oscillator operators at the points of cognitive representation with the additional restriction to the pre-image of given partonic 2-surface, whose identification was already considered. Scattering amplitudes would involve n-vertices consisting of momenta summing up to zero and connected to opposite incoming momenta at the opposite sides of the HCs with the

same tip in M^8. Scattering amplitude would decompose to sub-diagrams defining a cluster decomposition, and would correspond to sub-CDs. The simplest option is that there are no internal propagator lines. The vanishing of the total momenta poses stringent conditions on the points of cognitive representation.

Normal ordering divergences can however produce problems for this option in the case of bosonic charges bilear in oscillator operators. At the level of H the solution came from a bilocal modified Dirac action leading to bilocal expressions for conserved charges. Now Yangian symmetry suggests a different approach: local vertices in momentum space can involve only commuting oscillator operators.

Indeed, in ZEO one can regard annihilation operators as creation operators for the fermionic vacuum associated with the opposite HC of CD (or sub-CD). The expressions of bosonic charges would be indeed bi-local with annihilation and creation operators associated with the mirror paired points in the two HCs of CD forming pairs. As already noticed, also the momentum space propagator $D_p = D(p, -p)$ would be also a bi-local object.

6. This is not enough yet. If there is only a single quark at givenmomentum, genuine particle creation is not possible and the particle reactions are only re-arrangements of quarks but already allowing formation of bosons as bound states of quarks and antiquarks. Genuine particle creation demands local composites of several quarks at the same point p having interpretation as a state with collinear momenta summing up to p and able to decay to states with the total momentum p. This suggests the analog of SUSY proposed in [L8]. Also Yangian approach is highly suggestive.

   To sumup, momentum conservation together with the assumption of finite cognitive representations is the basic obstacle requiring new thinking.

2.5 Is the decomposition to propagators and vertices needed?

One can challenge the QFT inspired picture.

1. As already noticed, the relationship $P_1(t) = P(2T - t)$ makes it possible to satisfy this condition at least for the entire set of momenta. This does not yet allow non-trivial interactions without posing additional conditions on the momentum spectrum. This does not look nice. One can ask whether there is a kind of natural selection leading to polynomials defining space-time surfaces allowing cognitive representations with vertex decompositions and polynomials $P(t)$ and $P_r(t)$ without this symmetry? This idea looks ugly. Or could evolution start from simplest surfaces allowing 4 vertices and lead to an engineering of more complex scattering diagrams from these?

2. The map of momentum space propagators regarded as completely local objects in M^8 to H propagators is second ugly feature. The beauty and simplicity of the original picture would be lost by introducing copies of sub-diagrams mapped to the various translations in H.

3. The Noether charges of the Dirac action in H fail to give rise to 4-fermion vertex operator. The theory would be naturally just free field theory if one assumes cognitive representations.

The first heretic question is whether the propagators are really needed at the level of momentum space. This seems to be the case.

1. In ZEO the propagators pair creation and operators with opposite 4-momenta assignable to the opposite HCs of CD having conjugate fermionic vacua (Dirac sea of negative energy fermions and Dirac sea of positive energy fermions) so that momentum space propagators $D(p, -p)$ are non-local objects. The propagators would connect positive and negative energy fermions at the opposite HCs and this should be essential in the formulation of scattering amplitudes. They cannot be avoided.

2. The propagators would result from the contractions of fermion oscillator operators giving a 7-D delta function at origin in continuum theory. This catastrophe is avoided in the

number theoretic picture. Since one allows only points with M^8 coordinates in an extension of rationals, one can assume Kronecker delta type anti-commutators. Besides cognitive representations, this would reflect the profound difference between momentum space and space-time.

This would also mean that the earlier picture about the TGD analog of SUSY based on local composites of oscillator operators [L8] makes sense at the level of M^8. The composites could be however local only for oscillator operators associated with the HC of CD. With the same restriction they could be local also in the$H$ picture.

What about vertices? Could Yangian algebra give directly the scattering amplitudes? This would simplify dramatically the $M^8 - H$ duality for transition amplitudes. For this option the $P_1(t) = P(2T - t)$ option required by continuity would be ideal.

1. Without vertices the theory would be a free field theory. The propagators would connect opposite momenta in opposite HCs of CD. Vertices are necessary and they should be associated with sub-CDs. Unless sub-CDs can have different numbers of positive and negative energy quarks at the opposite HCs, the total quark number is the same in the initial and final states if quarks and antiquarks associated with bosons as bound states of fermion and antiquark are counted. This option would require minimally 4-quark vertex having 2 fermions of opposite energies at the two hemi-spheres of the CD. A more general option looks more plausible. One obtains non-trivial scattering amplitudes by contracting fermions assigned to the boundary P (F) past (future) HC of CD to the past (future) boundary P_{sub} (F_{sub}) of a sub-CD. Sub-CD and CD must have an opposite arrow of time to get the signs of energies correctly.

   Sub-CDs would thus make particle creation and non-trivial scattering possible. There could be an arbitrary number of sub-CDs and they should be assignable to the pre-images of the partonic 2-surfaces X^2_r if the earlier picture is correct. The precise identification of the partonic 2-surfaces is still unclear as also the question whether light-like orbits of partonic 2-surfaces meet along their ends in the vertices.

2. As in the case of H, one could assign the analogs of n-vertices at pre-images of partonic 2-surfaces at X^2_r representing the momenta of massive modes of the octonionic Dirac equation and belonging to the cognitive representations. The idea is to use generators of super-Yangian algebra to be discussed later which are both bosonic and fermionic. The simplest construction would assign these generators to the vertices as points in cognitive representation.

   An important point is that Yangian symmetry would be a local symmetry at the level of momentum space and correspond to non-local symmetry at the level of space-time rather than vice versa as usually. The conserved currents would be local composites of quark oscillator operators with same momentum just as they are in QFTs at space-time level representing parallelly propagating quarks and antiquarks.

   The simplest but not necessary assumption is that they are linear and bilinear in oscillator operators associated with the same point of M^8 and thus carrying 8-momenta assignable to the modes of E^8 spinor field and restricted to the co-associative 4-surface. Their number of local composites is finite and corresponds to the number 8 of different states of 8-spinors of given chirality.

   Also a higher number of quarks is possible, and this was indeed suggested in [L8]. The proposal was that instance leptons would correspond to local composites of 3 quarks. The TGD based view about color allows this. These states would be analogous to the monomials of theta parameters in the expansion of super-field. The H picture allows milder assumptions: leptonic quarks reside at partonic 2-surface at different points but this is not necessary.

3. Instead of super-symplectic generators one has $G_{2,c}$ as the complexified automorphism group. Also the Galois group of the extension acts as an automorphism group and is proposed to have a central role in quantum TGD with applications to quantum biology [L2, L11]. As found, $G_{2,c}$ acts as an analog of gauge or Kac-Moody group. Yangian has analogous structure but the analogs of conformal weights are non-negative.

4. The identification of the analogs of the poly-local vertex operators as produces of charges generators associated with FHC anbd PHC is the basic challenge. They should consist of quark creation operators (annihilation operators being associated as creation operators at the opposite HC) and be generators of infinitesimal symmetries which in number theoretic physics would correspond instead of isometries of WCW to the octonionic automorphism group G_2 complexified to $G_{2,c}$ containing also the generators of $SO(4) \subset G_2$ and thus also those of Lorentz group $SO(1,3) \subset G_{2,c}$.

   The construction Noether charges of E^8 second quantized spinor field at momentum space representation gives bilinear expressions in creation and annihilation operators associated with opposite 3-momenta and would have a single fermion in a given HC. This is not enough: there should be at least 4 fermions.

What strongly suggests itself are Yangian algebras [A5] [L3] having poly-local generators and considered already earlier and appearing in the twistor Grassmannian approach [B4, B5]. The sums of various quantum numbers would vanish for the vertex operators. These algebras are quantum algebras and the construction of n-vertices could involve co-algebra operation. What is new as compared to Lie algebras is that Yangian algebras are quantum algebras having co-algebra structure allowing to construct n-local generators representing scattering amplitudes. It might be possible replace oscillator operators with the quantum group counterparts.

2.6 Does the condition that momenta belong to cognitive representations make scattering amplitudes trivial?

Yangian symmetry is associated with 2-D integrable QFTs which tend to be physically rather uninteresting. The scattering is in the forward direction and only phase shifts are induced. There is no particle creation. If the relationship $P_1(t) = P(2T - t)$ is applied the momentum spectra for FHC and PHC differ only by the sign. If all momenta are involved and the cognitive representations are finite, the situation would be the same! Also the existence of cluster compositions involving summations of subsets of momenta to zero is implausible. Something seems to go wrong!

The basic reason for the problem is the assumption that the momenta belong to cognitive representations assumed to be finite as they indeed are in the generic case. But are they finite in the recent situation involving symmetries?

1. The assumption that all possible momenta allowed by cognitive representation are involved, allows only forward scattering unless there are several subsets of momenta associated with either HC such that the momenta sum-up to the same total momentum. This would allow the change of the particle number. The subsets S_i with same total momentum p_{tot} in the final state could save as final states of subsets S_j with the same total momentum p in the initial state. What could be the number theoretical origin of this degeneracy?

2. In the generic case the cognitive representation contains only a finite set of points (Fermat theorem, in which one considers rational roots of $x^n + y^n = z^n$, $n > 2$ is a basic example of this) . There are however special cases in which this is not true. In particular, M^4 and its geodesic sub-manifolds provide a good example: all points in the extension of rationals are allowed in M^4 coordinates (note that there are preferred coordinates in the number theoretic context).

   The recent situation is indeed highly symmetric due to the Lorentz invariance of space-time surfaces as roots reducing the equations to ordinary algebraic equations for a single complex variable. $X = 0$ condition gives as a result $a_c^2 = constant$ complex hyperboloid with a real mass hyperboloid as a real projection. $a_c^2 = r_n$ is in the extension of rationals as a root of n:th order polynomial. One has the condition $Re(m^2)^2 - Im(m^2) = Re(r_n)$ giving X_r^4 a slicing by real mass hyperboloids. If $Im(m)$ and the spatial part of $Re(m)$ belongs to the extension, one has for real time coordinate $t = \sqrt{r_M^2 + Im(m^2) + r_n}$. If $r_M^2 + Im(m)^2 + r_n$ is a square in the extension also t belongs to the extension. Cognitive representation would contain an infinite number of points and the it would be possible to have non-trivial cluster decompositions. Scattering amplitude would be a sum over different choices of the momenta of the external particles satisfying momentum conservation condition.

As found, the intersection of X_r^4 and X_r^6 is either empty or X_r^4 belongs to X_r^6, Cognitive representations would have an infinite number of points also now by the previous argument. Partonic 2-surfaces at X_r^3 would be replaced with 3-D surfaces in X_r^4 in this situation and would contain a large number of roots. The partonic 2-surfaces would be still present and correspond to the intersections of incoming space-time surfaces of quarks inside X_r^6. These surfaces would also contain the vertices.

3. Could number theoretic evolution gradually select space-time surfaces for which the number theoretic dynamics involving massive quarks is possible? First would be generic polynomials for which X_r^3 would be empty and only massless quarks arriving at the light-cone boundary would be possible. After that surfaces allowing non-empty X_r^3 and massive quarks would appear. There is a strong resemblance with the view about cosmological evolution starting from massless phases and proceeding as a sequence of symmetry breakings causing particle massivation. Now the massivation would not be caused by Higgs like fields but have purely number theoretic interpretation and conform with the p-adic mass calculations [K1].

   Also a cognitive explosion would occur since these space-time surfaces would be cognitively superior after the emergence of massive quarks. If this picture has something to do with reality, the space-time surfaces contributing to the scattering amplitudes would be very special and interactions could be seen as a kind of number theoretical resonance phenomenon.

4. Even is not enough to obtain genuine particle reaction instead of re-arrangements: one must have also local composites of collinear quarks at the same momentum p identifiable as the sum of parallel momenta discussed in [L8]. This kind of situation is also encountered for on-mass-shell vertices in twistor Grassmannian approach. Thelocal composites could decay to local composites with a smaller number of quarks but respecting momentum conservation. Here the representations of Yangian algebra would come in rescue.

2.7 Momentum conservation and on-mass-shell conditions for cognitive representations

Momentum conservation and on-mass shell-conditions are very powerful for cognitive representations, which in the generic case are finite. At mass shells the cognitive representations consist of momenta in the extension of rationals satisfying the condition $p^2 = Re(r_n)$, r_n a complex root of X, which is polynomial of degree n in p^2 defined by the odd part of P. If $\sqrt{Re(r_n)}$ does not belong to the extension defined by P, it can be extended to contain also $\sqrt{Re(r_n)}$.

For Pythagorean triangles in the field of rationals, mass shell condition gives for the momentum components in extension an equation analogous to the equation $k^2 + l^2 = m^2$, which can be most easily solved by noticing that the equation has rotation group $SO(2)$ consisting of rational rotation matrices as symmetries. The solutions are of form $(k = r^2 - s^2, l = 2rs, m = r^2 + s^2)$. By $SO(2)$ invariance, one can choose the coordinate frame so that one has $(k, l) = (r^2 + s^2, 0)$. By applying to this root a rational rotation with $cos(\phi) = (r^2 - s^2)/(r^2 + s^2), sin(\phi) = 2rs/(r^2 + s^2)$ to obtain the general solution $(k = r^2 - s^2, l = 2rs, n = r^2 + s^2)$. The expressions for k and l can be permuted, which means replacing ϕ with $\phi - pi/2$. For a more general case $k^2 + l^2 = n$ one can replace n with $\sqrt{n}$ so that one has an extension of rationals.

For the hyperbolic variants of Pythagorean triangles, one has $k^2 - l^2 = m^2$ or equivalently $l^2 + m^2 = k^2$ giving a Pythagorean triangle. The solution is $k = r^2 + s^2, l = r^2 - s^2, m^2 = 2rs$. The expressions for l and ma can be permuted. Rotation is replaced with 2-D Lorentz boost $cosh(\eta) = (r^2 + s^2)/(r^2 - s^2)$ and $sinh(\eta) = 2rs/(r^2 - s^2)$ with rational matrix elements.

Consider now the 4-D case.

1. The algebra behind the solution depends in no manner on the number field considered and makes sense even for the non-commutative case if m and n commute. Hence one can apply the Pythagorean recipe also in 4-D case to the extension of rationals defined by P by adding to it $\sqrt{r_n}$.

2. Assume that a Lorentz frame can be chosen to be the rest frame in which one has $p = (E = \sqrt{Rer_n}, 0)$ (this might not be possible always). As in the Pythagorean case, there must be a consistency condition. Now it would be of form $E = \sqrt{r_n} = p_0^2 - p_1^2 - p_2^2 - p_3^2$ in the

extension defined by $\sqrt{r_n}$. It is not clear whether this condition can be solved for all choices of momentum components in the extension or assuming that algebraic integers of extension are in question. One can also consider an option in which one has algebraic integer divided by some integer N. p-Adic considerations would suggest that prime powers $N = p^k$ might be interesting.

The solutions $\sqrt{r_n} = p_1^2 - p_2^2$ represent a special case. The general solution is obtained by making Lorenz transformation with a matrix with elements in the discrete subgroup of Lorentz group with matrix elements in the extension of rationals.

3. The solutions would define a discretization of the mass shell (3-D hyperbolic space) defined as the orbit of the infinite discrete subgroup of $SO(1,3)$ considered - perhaps the subgroup of $SL(2,C)$ with matrix elements identified as algebraic integers.

If the entire subgroup of SL(2,C) with matrix elements in the extension of rationals is realized, the situation would correspond effectively to a continuous momentum spectrum for infinite cognitive representations. The quantization of momenta is however physically a more realistic option.

1. An interesting situation corresponds to momenta with the same time component, in which case the group would be a discrete subgroup of $SO(3)$. The finite discrete symmetry subgroups act as symmetries of Platonic solids and polygons forming the ADE hierarchy associated to the inclusions of hyperfinite factors of type II_1 and proposed to provide description of finite measurement resolution in TGD framework.

2. The scattering would be analogous to diffraction and only to the directions specified by the vertices of the Platonic solid. Platonic solids, in particular, icosahedron appear also in TGD inspired quantum biology [L1, L10], and also in Nature. Could their origin be traced to $M^8 - H$ duality mapping the Platonic momentum solids to H by inversion?

A more general situation would correspond to the restriction to a discrete non-compact subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL(2,C)$ with matrix elements in the extension of rationals. $Sl(2,C)$ has a representation as Möbius transformations of upper half-plane H^2 of complex plane acting as conformal transformations whereas the action in H^3 is as isometries. The Möbius transformation acting as isometries of H^2 corresponds to $SL(2,Z)$ having also various interesting subgroups, in particular congruence subgroups.

1. Subgroups Γ of the modular group $SL(2,Z)$ define tesselations (analogs of orindary lattices in a curved space) of both H^2 and H^4. The fundamental domain [A1] (`https://cutt.ly/ahBrtT5`) of the tesselation defined by $\Gamma \subset SL(2,C)$ contains exactly one point at from each orbit of Γ. The fundamental domain is analogous to lattice cell for an Euclidian 3-D lattice.

   Γ must be small enough since the orbits would be otherwise dense just like rationals are a dense sub-set of reals. In the case of rationals this leaves into consideration tje modular subgroup $SL(2,Z)$ or its subgroups. In the recent situation an extension of the modular group allowing matrix elements to be algebraic integers of the extension is natural. Physically this would correspond to the quantization of momentum components as algebraic integers. The tesselation in M^8 and its image in H would correspond to reciprocal lattice and lattice in condensed matter physics.

2. So called uniform honeycombs [A3, A2, A4] (see `https://cutt.ly/xhBwTph`, `https://cutt.ly/lhBwPRc`, and `https://cutt.ly/0hBwUOO`) in H^3 assignable to $SL(2,Z)$ can be regarded as polygons in 4-D space and H^3 takes the roles of sphere S^2 for platonic solids for which the tesselation defined by faces is finite.

   The four regular compact honeycombs in H^3 for which the faces and vertex figures (the faces meeting the vertex) are finite are of special interest physically. In the Schönflies notation characterizing polytopes (tesselations are infinite variants of them) they are labelled by (p,q,r), where p is the number of vertices of face, q is the number of faces meeting at vertex, and s is the number of cells meeting at edge.

The regular compact honeycombs are listed by (5,3,4), (4,3,5), (3,5,3), (5,3,5). For Platonic solids (5,3) characterizes dodecahedron, (4,3) cube, and (3,5) for icosahedron so that these Platonic solids serve as basic building bricks of these tesselations. Rather remarkably, icosahedral symmetries central in the TGD based model of genetic code [L1, L10], characterize cells for 3 uniform honeycombs.

Consider now the momentum conservation conditions explicitly assuming momenta to be algebraic integers. It is natural to restrict the momenta to algebraic integers in the extension of rationals defined by the polynomial P. This allows linearization of the constraints from momentum conservation quite generally.

Pythagorean case allows to guess what happens in 4-D case.

1. One can start from momentum conservation in the Pythagorean case having interpretation in terms of complex integers $p=(r+is)^2=r^2-s^2+2irs$. The momenta in the complex plane are squares of complex integers $z=r+is$ obtained by map $z\to w=z^2$ and complex integers. One picks up in the w-plane integer momenta for the incoming and outgoing states satisfying the conservation conditions $\sum_i P_{out,i}=\sum_k P_{in,k}$: what is nice is that the conditions are linear in w-plane. After this one checks whether the inverse images $\sqrt{P_{out,i}}$ and $\sqrt{P_{in,i}}$ are also complex integers.

2. To get some idea about constraints, one can check what CM system for a 2-particle system means (it is not obvious whether it is always possible to find a CM system: one could have massive particles which cannot form a rest system). One must have opposite spatial momenta for $P_1=(r_1+is_1)^2$ and $P_2=(r_2+is_2)^2$. This gives $r_{s1}=r_2s_2$. The products r_is_i correspond to different compositions of the same integer N to factors. The values of $r_i^2+si^2$ are different.

3. In hyperbolic case one obtains the same conditions since the roles of r^2-s^2 and r^2+s^2 in the conditions are changed so that r^2-s^2 corresponds now to mass mass mass and differs for different decomposition of N to factors. The linearization of the conservation conditions generalizes also to the algebraic extensions of rationals with integers replaced by algebraic integers.

The generalization to the 4-D case is possible in terms of octonions.

1. Replace complex numbers by quaternions $q=q_0+\overline{q}$. The square of quaternion is $q^2=q_0^2-\overline{q}\cdot\overline{q}+2iq_0\overline{q}$. Allowed momenta for given mass correspond to points in q^2-plane. Conservation conditions inthe q^2 plane are linear and satisfied by quaternionic integers, which are squares. So that in the q^2 plane the allowed momenta form an integer lattice and the identification as a square selects a subset of this lattice. This generalizes also to the algebraic integers in the extension of rationals.

2. What about the co-associative case corresponding to the canonical basis $\{I_1,iI_3,iI_5,iI_7\}$? Momenta would be as co-associative octonion o but o^2 is a quaternion in the plane defined by $\{I_0,iI_2,iI_4,iI_6\}$. o representable in terms of a complexified quaternion $q=q_0+i\overline{q}$ as $o=I_4q$ and the in general complex values norm squared is give by$o\overline{o}$ with conjugation of octonionic imaginary units but not i: this gives Minkowskian norm squared. This reduces the situation to the quaternionic case.

3. In this case the CM system for two-particle case corresponds to the conditions $q_{1,0}\overline{q}_1=q_{2,0}\overline{q}_2$ implying that q_1 and q_2 have opposite directions and $q_{1,0}|\overline{q}_1|=q_{2,0}|\overline{q}_2|$. The ratio of the lengths of the momenta is integer. Now the squares $q_{i,0}|\overline{q}_i|^2$, $i=1,2$ are factorizations of the same integer N. Masses are in general different.

4. The situation generalizes also to complexified quaternions - the interpretation of the imaginary part of momentum might be in terms of a decay width - and even to general octonions since associativity is not involved with the conditions.

2.8 Further objections

The view about scattering amplitudes has developed rather painfully by objections creating little shocks. The representation of scattering amplitudes is based on quark oscillator operator algebra. This raises two further objections.

The non-vanishing contractions of the oscillator operators are necessary for obtaining non-trivial scattering amplitudes but is this condition possible to satisfy.

1. One of the basic deviations of TGD from quantum field theories (QFTs) is the hypothesis that all elementary particles, in particular bosons, can be described as bound states of fermions, perhaps only quarks. In TGD framework the exchange of boson in QFT would mean an emission of a virtual quark pair and its subsequent absorption. In ZEO in its basic form this seems to be impossible.

2. If scattering corresponds to algebra morphism mapping products to products of co-products - the number of quarks in say future HC is higher than in the past HC as required. But how to obtain non-vanishing scattering amplitudes? There should be non-vanishing counterparts of propagators between points of FHC but this is not possible if only creation operators are present in a given HC as ZEO requires. All particle reactions would be re-arrangements of quarks and antiquarks to elementary fermions and bosons (OZI rule of the hadronic string model: `https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/OZI_rule`). The emission of virtual or real bosons requires the creation of quark antiquark pairs and seems to be in conflict with the OZI rule.

3. It would be natural to assign to quarks and bosons constructed as their bound states non-trivial inner product in a given HC of CD. Is this possible if the counterparts of annihilation operators act as creation operators in the opposite HC? Can one assign inner product to a given boundary of CD by assuming that hermitian conjugates of quark oscillator operators act in the dual Hilbert space of the quark Fock space? Could this dual Hilbert space relate to the Drinfeld's double?

How could one avoid the OZI rule?

1. Is it enough to also allow annihilation operators in given HC? Bosonic $G_{2,c}$ generators could involve them. The decay of boson to quark pair would still correspond to re-arrangement but one would have inner product for states at given HC. The creation of bosons would still be a problem. Needless to say, this option is not attractive.

2. A more plausible solution for this problem is suggested by the phenomenological picture in which quarks at the level of H are assigned with partonic 2-surfaces and their orbits, string world sheets, and their boundaries at the orbits of partonic 2-surfaces. By the discussion in the beginning of this section, these surfaces could correspond at the level of M^8 to space-time regions of complexified space-time surface with real number theoretic metric having signature (+,+,-,-), (+,+,+,-), (+,+,+,+) having 2,3, or 4 time-like dimensions. They would allow non-negative values of mass squared and would be separated from the region of Minkowskian signature by a transition region space-time region with dimension $D \in \{3, 2, 1\}$ mapped to CP_2.

   In these regions one would have 1, 2, or 3 additional energy like momentum components $p_i = E_i$. E_i. Could the change of sign for E_i transform creation operator to annihilation operator as would look natural. This would give bosonic states with a non-vanishing norm and also genuine boson creation. What forces to take this rather radical proposal seriously that it conforms with the phenomenological picture.

   In this region one could have a non-trivial causal diamond CD with signature (+,+,-,-), (+,+,+,-). For the signature (+,+,+,+) CD reduces to a point with a vanishing four-momentum and would correspond to CP_2 type extremals (wormhole contacts). Elementary fermions and bosons would consist of quarks in regions with signature (+,+,-,-) and (+,+,+,-). It would seem that the freedom to select signature in twistorial amplitude is not mere luxury but has very deep physical content.

One can invent a further objection. Suppose that the above proposal makes sense and allows to assign propagators to a given HC. Does Yangian co-product allow a construction of zero energy states giving rise to scattering amplitudes, which typically have a larger number of particles in the future HC (FHC) than in past HC (PHC) and represent a genuine creation of quark pairs?

1. One can add to the PHC quarks and bosons one-by-one by forming the product super $G(2,c)$ generators assignable to the added particles. To the FHC one would add the product of co-products of these super $G(2,c)$ generators (co-product of product is product of co-products as an algebra morphism).

2. By the basic formula of co-product each addition would correspond to a superposition of two states in FHC. The first state would be the particle itself having suffered a forward scattering. Second state would involve 2 generators of super $G_{2,c}$ at different momenta summing up to that for the initial state, and represent a scattering $q \rightarrow q+b$ for a quark in the initial state and scattering $b \rightarrow 2b$, $b \rightarrow 2b$, or $b \rightarrow 2q$ for a boson in the initial state.

   Number theoretic momentum conservation assuming momenta to be algebraic integersshould allow processes in which quark oscillator operators are contracted between the states in FHC and PHC or between quarks in the FHC.

3. Now comes the objection. Suppose that the state in PC consists of fundamental quarks. Also the FC containing the product of co-products of quarks must contain these quarks with the same momenta. But momentum conservation does not allow anything else in FC! The stability of quarks is a desirable property in QFTs but something goes wrong! How to solve the problem?

   Also now phenomenological picture comes to the rescue and tells that elementary particles - as opposed to fundamental fermions - are composites of fundamental fermions assignable to flux tubes like structures involving 2 wormhole contacts. In particular, quarks as elementary particles would involvequark at either throat of the first wormhole contact and quark-antiquark pair associated with the second wormhole contact. The state would correspond to a quantum superposition of different multilocal momentum configurations defining multi-local states at M^8 level. The momentum conservation constraint could be satisfied without trivializing the scattering amplitudes since the contractions could occur between different components of thesuperposition - this would be essential.

   Note also that at H level there can be several quarks at a given wormhole throat defining a multilocal state in M^8: one could have a superposition of these states with different momenta and again different components of the wave function could contract. By Uncertainty Principle the almost locality in H would correspond to strong non-locality in M^8. This could be seen as an approximate variant of the TGD variant of H variant of SUSY considered in [L8].

   Could the TGD variant of SUSY proposed in [L8] but realized at the level of momentum space help to circumvent the objection? Suppose that the SUSY multiplet in M^8 can be created by a local algebraic product possessing a co-product delocalizing the local product of oscillator operators at point p in PC and therefore represents the decay of the local composite to factors with momenta at p_1 and $p - p_1$ in FC. This would not help to circumvent the objection. Non-locality and wave functions in momentum space is needed.

3 Symmetries in M^8 picture

3.1 Standard model symmetries

Can one understand standard model symmetries in M^8 picture?

1. $SU(3) \subset G_2$ would respect a given choice of time axis as preferred co-associative set of imaginary units ($I_2 \subset \{I_2, iI_3, iIb, iI_7\}$ for the canonical choice). The labels would therefore correspond to the group $SU(3)$. $SU(3)_c$ would be analogous to the local color gauge group in the sense that the element of local $SU(3)_c$ would generate a complecofied space-time surface from the flat and real M^4. The real part of pure $SU(3)_c$ gauge potential would not however

reduce to pure $SU(3)$ gauge potential. Could the vertex factors be simply generators of $SU(3)$ or $SU(3)_c$?

2. What about electroweak quantum numbers in M^8 picture? Octonionic spinors have spin and isospin as quantum numbers and can be mapped to H spinors. Bosons would be bound states of quarks and antiquarks at both sides.

   How could electroweak interactions emerge at the level of M^8? At the level of H an analogous problem is met: spinor connection gives only electroweak spinor connection but color symmetries are isometries and become manifest via color partial waves. Classical color gauge potentials can be identified as projections of color isometry generators to the space-time surface.

   Could electroweak gauge symmetries at the level of M^8 be assigned with the subgroup $U(2) \subset SU(3)$ of $CP_2 = SU(3)/U(2)$ indeed playing the role of gauge group? There is a large number of space-time surfaces mapped to the same surface in H and related by a local $U(2)$ transformation. If this transformation acted on the octonionic spinor basis, it would be a gauge transformation but this is not the case: constant octonion basis serves as a gauge fixing. Also the space-time surface in M^8 changes but preserves its "algebraic shape".

3.2 How the Yangian symmetry could emerge in TGD?

Yangian symmetry [A5, A6] appears in completely 2-D systems. The article [B7] (`https://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.02947.pdf`) gives a representation which is easy to understand by a physicist like me whereas the Wikipedia article remains completely incomprehensible to me.

Yangian symmetry is associated with 2-D QFTs which tend to be physically rather uninteresting. The scattering is in forward direction and only phase shifts are induced. There is no particle creation. Yangian symmetry appears in 4-D super gauge theories [B2] and in the twistor approach to scattering amplitudes [B3, B6, B4, B5]. I have tried to understand the role of Yangian symmetry in TGD [L3].

3.2.1 Yangian symmetry from octonionic automorphisms

An attractive idea is that the Yangian algebra having co-algebra structure could allow to construct poly-local conserved charges and that these could define vertex operators in M^8.

1. Yangian symmetry appears in 2-D systems only. In TGD framework strings world sheets could be these systems as co-commutative 2-surfaces of co-associative space-time surface.

2. What is required is that there exists a conserved current which can be also regarded as a flat connection. In TGD the flat connection would a connection for $G_{2,c}$ or its subgroup associated with the map taking standard co-associative sub-space of O_c for which the number theoretic norm squared is real and has Minkowski signature (M^4 defined by the canonical choice $\{I_2, iI_3, iI_5, iI_7\}$.

   One can induce his flat connection to string world sheet and holomorphy of g at this surface would guarantee the conservation of the current given by $j_{0)} = g^{-1}dg$.

3. Under these conditions the integral of the time component of current along a space-like curve at string world sheets with varying end point is well-defined and the current

$$j_{1)}(x) = \epsilon\mu\nu j_{0),\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{2}[j^{\mu}_{0)}(x,t), \int^{x} j^{0}_{0)}(t,y)dy]$$

   is conserved. This is called the current at first level. Note that the currents have values in the Lie algebra considered. It is essential that the integration volume is 1-D and its boundary is characterized by a value of single coordinate x.

4. One can continue the construction by replacing j_0 with j_1 in the above formula and one obtains an infinite hierarchy of conserved currents $j_{n)}$ defined by the formula

$$j_{n+1)}(x) = \epsilon\mu\nu j_{n),\nu}(x) - \frac{1}{2}[j^{\mu}_{n)}(x,t), \int^{x} j^{0}_{n)}(t,y)dy] \quad (3.1)$$

The corresponding conserved charges Q_n define the generators of Yangian algebra.

5. 2-D metric appears in the formulas. In the TGD framework one does not have Riemann metric - only the number theoretic metric which is real only at real space-time surfaces already discussed. Is the (effective) 2-dimensionality and holomorphy enough to avoid the possible problems? Holomorphy makes sense also number theoretically and implies that the metric disappears from the formulas for currents. Also current conservation reduces to the statement of that current is equivalent to complex differential form.

6. Conserved charges would however require a 1-D integral and number theory does not favor this. The solution of the problem comes from the observation that one can construct a slicing of string world sheet to time-like curves as Hamiltonian orbits with Hamiltonian belonging to the Yangian algebra and defined by the conserved current by standard formula $j^{\alpha} = J^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\beta}H$ in terms of Kähler form defined by the 2-D Kähler metric of string world sheet. This generalizes to Minkowskian signature and also makes sense for partonic 2-surfaces. Hamiltonians become the classical conserved charges constant along the Hamiltonian orbit. This gives an infinite hierarchy of conserved Hamiltonian charges in involution. Hamiltonian can be any combination of the Hamiltonians in the hierarchy and labelled by a non-negative integer and the label of $G_{2,c}$ generator. This is just what integrability implied by Yangian algebra means. Co-associativity and co-commutativity would be the deeper number theoretic principles implying the Yangian symmetry.

7. Could one formulate this argument in dimension $D = 4$? Could one consider instead of local current the integral of conserved currents over 2-D surfaces labelled by single coordinate x for a given value of t? If the space-time surface in M^8 (analog of Fermi sphere) allows a slicing by orthogonal strings sheets and partonic 2-surfaces, one might consider the fluxes of the currents $g^{-1}dg$ over the 2-D partonic 2-surfaces labelled by string coordinates (t, x) as effectively 2-D currents, whose integrals over x would give the conserved charge. Induced metric should disappear from the expressions so that fluxes of holomorphic differential forms over partonic 2-surface at (t, x) should be in question. Whether this works is not clear.

One should interpret the above picture at the level of momentum space instead of ordinary space-time. The roles of momentum space and space-time are changed. At this point, one can proceed by making questions.

1. One should find a representation for the algebra of the Hamiltonians associated with $g(x)$ defining the space-time surface. The charges are associated with the slicings of string world sheets or partonic 2-surfaces by the orbits of Hamiltonian dynamics defined by a combination of conserved currents so that current conservation becomes charge conservation. These charges are labelled by the coordinate x characterizing the slices defined by the Hamiltonian orbits and from these one can construct a non-local basis discrete basis using Fourier transform.

2. What the quantization of these classical charges - perhaps using fermionic oscillator operators in ZEO picture for which the local commutators vanish - could mean (only the anti-commutators of creation operators associated with the opposite half-cones of CD with opposite momenta are non-vanishing)? Do the Yangian charges involve only creation operators of either type with the same 8-momentum as locality at M^8 level suggests? Locality is natural l since these Yangian charges are analogous to charges constructed from local currents at space-time level.

3. Could the Yangian currents give rise to poly-local charges assignable to the set of vertices in a cognitive representation and labelled by momenta? Could the level n somehow correspond to the number n of the vertices and could the co-product Δ generate the charges? What

does the tensor product appearing in the co-product really mean: do the sector correspond to different total quark numbers for the generators? Is it a purely local operation in M^8 producing higher monomials of creation operators with the same momentum label or is superposition over Hamiltonian slices by Fourier transform possibly involved ?

3.2.2 How to construct quantum charges

One should construct quantum charges. In the TGD framework the quantization of $g(x)$ is not an attractive idea. Could one represent the charges associated with g it in terms of quark oscillator operators induced from the second quantized E^8 spinors so that propagators would emerge in the second quantization? Analogs of Kac Moody representations but with a non-negative spectrum of conformal weights would be in question. Also super-symplectic algebra would have this property making the formulation of the analogs of gauge conditions possible, and realizing finite measurement resolution in terms of hierarchy of inclusions of hyper-finite factors of type II_1 [K6, K4]. The Yangian algebra for $G_{2,c}$ or its subgroup could be the counterpart for these symmetries at the level of H.

The following proposal for the construction for the charges and super-charges of Yangian algebra in terms of quark oscillator operators is the first attempt.

1. One knows the Lie-algebra part of Yangian from the Poisson brackets of Hamiltonians associated with string world sheet slicing and possibly also for a similar slicing for partonic 2-surfaces. One should construct a representation in terms of quark ocillator operators in ZEO framework for both Lie-algebra generators and their super-counterparts. Also co-product should be needed.

2. The oscillator operators of E^8 spinor field located at the points of X^4 are available. The charges must be local and describe states with non-linear quarks and antiquarks.

   One must construct conserved charges as currents associated with the Hamiltonian orbits. Bosonic currents are bilinear in quark and antiquark oscillator operators and their super counterparts linear in quark or antiquark oscillator operators.

3. Since the system is 2-D one can formally assume in Euclidian signature (partonic 2-surface) Kähler metric $g^{z\overline{z}}$ and Kähler form $J^{z\overline{z}} = igz\overline{z}$, which is antisymmetric and real in real coordinates ($J^{kl} = -J^{lk}$) knowing that they actually disappear from the formulas. One can also define gamma matrices $\Gamma_\alpha = \gamma_k \partial_\alpha p^k$ as projections of imbedding space gamma matrices to the string world sheet. In the case of string world sheet one can introduce light-like coordinates (u, v) as analogous of complex coordinates and the only non-vanishing component of the metric is g^{uv}.

4. The claim is that the time components J_n^u the bosonic currents

$$J_n^\alpha = b_p^\dagger \overline{v}(p) \Gamma^\alpha H_n u(p) a^\dagger \tag{3.2}$$

   at the Hamiltonian curves with time coordinate t define conserved charges ($\alpha \in \{u, v\}$ at the string world sheet).

   Remark: v_p corresponds to momentum $-p$ for the corresponding plane wave in the Fourier expansion of quark field but the physical momentum is p and the point of M^8 that this state corresponds.

   Therefore one should have

$$\frac{J_n^u}{du} = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

   One can check by a direct calculation what additional conditions are possibly required by this condition.

5. The first point is that H_n is constant if $v = constant$ coordinate line is a Hamiltonian orbit. Also oscillator operators creating fermions and antifermions are constant. The derivative of $u(p)$ is

$$\frac{du(p)}{du} = \frac{\partial u(p)}{\partial_{p^k}}\frac{dp^k}{du} \quad .$$

. u_p is expressible as $u_p = Du_a$, where D is a massless Dirac operator in M^8 and u_a is a constant 8-D quark spinor with fixed chirality. D is sum of M^4- and E^4 parts and M^4 part is given by $D(M^4) = \gamma^k p_k$ so that one has $dp^k/dt = \gamma_r dp^r/dt$.

   This gives

$$\frac{d(\Gamma^u H_n u(p))}{du} = g^{uv}\gamma_k \partial_v p^k \frac{du(p)}{du} = g^{uv}\partial_u p\cdot \partial_v p \quad .$$

   If the tangent curves of u and v are orthogonal in the induced metric and $v = 0$ constant lines are Hamiltonian orbits the bosonic charges are conserved.

   One can perform a similar calculation for $d\frac{d\overline{v}(p)}{du}$ and the result is vanishing.

   One must also have $dg^{uv}/du = 0$. This should reduce to the covariant constancy of g^{uv}. If the square root of the metric determinant for string world sheet is included it cancels g^{uv}.

6. From the bosonic charges one construct corresponding fermionic super charges by replacing the fermionic or anti-quark oscillator operator part with a constant spinor.

The simplest option is that partonic 2-surfaces contain these operators at points of cognitive representation. One can ask whether co-product could forces local operators having a higher quark number. What is clear that this number is limited to the number $n = 0$ of spin degrees of $n = 8$.

1. The commutators of bosonic and fermionic charges are fermionic charges and co-product would in this case be a superposition of tensor products of bosonic and fermionic charges, whose commutator gives bosonic charge. Now however the bosonic and fermionic charges commute in the same half-cone of CD. Does this mean that the tensor product in question must be tensor product for the upper and lower half-cones of CD?

   For instance, in the fermionic case one would obtain superposition over pairs of fermions at say lower half-cone and bosons at the upper half-cone. The momenta would be opposite meaning that a local bosonic generator would have total momentum $2p$ at point p and fermionic generator at opposite cone would have momentum $-p$. The commutator would have momentum p as required. In this manner one could create bosons in either half-cone.

2. One can also assign to the bosonic generators a co-product as a pair of bosonic generators in opposite half-cones commuting to the bosonic generator. Assume that bosonic generator is at lower half-cone. Co-product must have a local composite of 4 oscillator operators in the lower half-cone and composite of 2 oscillator operators in the upper half-cone. Their anti-commutator contracts two pairs and leaves an operator of desired form. It therefore seems.

   Statistics allows only generators with a finite number of oscillator operators corresponding to 8 spin indices, which suggests an interpretation in terms of the proposed SUSY [L8]. The roots of P are many-sheeted coverings of M^4 and this means that there are several 8-momenta with the same M^4 projection. This degree of freedom corresponds to Galois degrees of freedom.

3. Only momenta in cognitive representation are allowed and momentum is conserved. The products of generators appearing in the sum defining the co-product of a given generator T, which is a local composite of quarks, would commute or anti-commute to T, and their momenta would sum-up to the momentum associated with T. The co-product would be poly-local and receive contributions from the points of the cognitive representation. Also other quantum numbers are conserved.

3.2.3 About the physical picture behind Yangian and definition of co-product

The physical picture behind the definition of Yangian in the TGD framework differs from that adopted by Drinfeld, who has proposed - besides a general definition of the notion of quantum algebra- also a definition of Yangian. In the Appendix Drinfeld's definition is discussed in detail: this discussion appears almost as such in [L3].

1. Drinfeld proposes a definition in terms of a representation in terms of generators of a free algebra to which one poses relations [B8]. Yangian can be seen as an analog of Kac-Moody algebra but with generators labelled by integer $n \geq 0$ as an analog of non-negative conformal weight. Also super-symplectic algebra has this property and its Yangianization is highly suggestive. The generators of Yangian as algebra are elements J_n^A, $n \geq 0$, with $n = 0$ and $n = 1$. Elements J_0^A define the Lie algebra and elements J_1^A transform like Lie-algebra elements so that commutators at this level are fixed.

   Remark: I have normally used generator as synonym for the element of Lie algebra: I hope that this does not cause confusion

   The challenge is to construct higher level generators J_n^A. Their commutators with JA^0 with J^An are fixed and also the higher level commutators can be guessed from the additivity of n and the transformation properties of generators J_n^A. The commutators are very similar to those for Kac-Moody algebra. In the TGD picture the representation as Hamiltonians fixes these commutation relations as being induced by a Poisson bracket. The Lie-algebra part of Yangian can be therefore expressed explicitly.

2. The challenge is to understand the co-product Δ. The first thing to notice is that Δ is a Lie algebra homomorphism so that one has $\Delta(XY) = \Delta(X)\Delta(Y)$ plus formulas expressing linearity. The intuitive picture is that Δ adds a tensor factor and is a kind of time reversal of the product conserving total charges and the total value of the weight n. Already this gives a good overall view about the general structure of the co-commutation relations.

   The multiplication of generators by the unit element Id of algebra gives the generator itself so that $\Delta(J_A)$ should involve part $Id \otimes J^A \oplus J^A \otimes Id$. Generators are indeed additive in the ordinary tensor product for Lie-algebra generators - for instance, rotation generators are sums of those for the two systems. However, one speaks of interaction energy: could the notion of "interaction quantum numbers" make sense quite generally. Could this notion provide some insights to proton spin puzzle [C1] meaning that quark spins do not seem to contribute considerably to proton spin? A possible TGD based explanation is in terms of angular momentum associated with the color magnetic flux tubes [K2], and the formulation of this notion at M^8 level could rely on the notion of "interaction angular momentum".

   The time reversal rule applied to $[J_A^m, J_B^n] \propto f_{ABC} J_C^{m+n}$ suggests that $\Delta(T_A^n)$ contains a term proportional to $f_{CBA} J_C^m \otimes J_B^{n-m}$. This would suggest that co-product as a time reversal involves also in the case of J_A^0 the term $k_1 f_{CBA} J_C^0 \otimes J_B^0$, where k_1 as an analog of interaction energy.

   Drinfeld's proposal does not involve this term in accordance with Drinfeld's intuition that co-product represents a deformation of Lie-algebra proportional to a parameter denoted by $\hbar$, which need not (and cannot!) actually correspond to $\hbar$. This view could be also defended by the fact that J_0^A do not create physical states but only measures the quantum numbers generated by J_A^n, $n > 0$. TGD suggests interpretation as the analog of the interaction energy.

3. In Drinfeld's proposal, the Lie-algebra commutator is taken to be $[J_A^0, J_B^0] = k f_{ABC} J_C^0$, $k = 1$. Usually one thinks that generators have the dimension of $\hbar$ so that dimensional consistency requires $k = \hbar$. It seems that Drinfeld puts $\hbar = 1$ and the $\hbar$ appearing in the co-product has nothing to do with the actual $\hbar$.

   The conservation of dimension applied to the co-product would give $k_1 = 1/\hbar$! What could be the interpretation? The scattering amplitudes in QFTs are expanded in powers of gauge coupling strengths $\alpha = g^2/4\pi\hbar$. In ZEO co-product would be essential for obtaining non-trivial scattering amplitudes and the expansion in terms of $1/\hbar$ would emerge automatically from the corrections involving co-products - in path integral formalism this expansion emerges from propagors

This view would also conform with the vision that Mother Nature loves her theoreticians. The increase of $h_{eff}/h_0 = n$ as dimension of extension of rationals would be Mother Nature's way to make perturbation theory convergent [K3]. The increase of the degree of P defining the space-time surface increases the algebraic complexity of the space-time surface but reduces the value of α as a compensation.

4. Drinfeld gives the definition of Yangian in terms of relations for the generating elements with weight $n = 0$ and $n = 1$. From these one can construct the generators by applying Δ repeatedly. Explicit commutation relations are easier to understand by a physicist like me, and I do not know whether the really nasty looking representation relations - Drinfeld himself calls "horrible" [B7] - are the only manner to define the algebra. In the TGD framework the definition based on the idea about co-product as a strict time reversal of product would mean deviation in the $n = 0$ sector giving rise to an interaction term having natural interpretation as analog of interaction energy.

5. Drinfeld proposes also what is known as Drinfeld's double [A7] (see `http://tinyurl.com/y7tpshkp`) as a fusion of two Hopf algebras and allowing to see product and co-product as duals of each other. The algebra involves slight breaking of associativity characterized by Drinfeld's associator. ZEO suggests [K5] that the members of Drinfeld's double correspond to algebra and co-algebra located at the opposite half-cones and there are two different options. Time reversal occurring in "big" state functions reductions (BSFRs) would transform the members to each other and change the roles of algebra and co-algebra (fusion would become decay).

In the TGD framework there is also an additional degree of freedom related to the momenta in cognitive representation, which could be regarded also as a label of generators. The idea that commutators and co-commutators respect conservation of momentum allows the fixing of the general form of Δ. Co-product of a generator at momentum p ina given half-cone would be in the opposite half-cone and involve sum over all momentum pairs of generators at p_1 and p_2 with the constraint $p_1 + p_2 + p = 0$.

Summation does not make sense for momenta in the entire extension of rationals. The situation changes if the momenta are algebraic integers for the extension of rationals considered: quarks would be particles in a number theoretic box. In the generic case, very few terms - if any - would appear in the sum but for space-time surfaces as roots of octonionic polynomials this is not the case. The co-products would as such define the basic building bricks of the scattering amplitudes obtained as vacuum expectation reducing the pairs of fermions in opposite half-cones to propagators.

4 Conclusions

$M^8 - H$ duality plays a crucial role in quantum TGD and this motivated a critical study of the basic assumptions involved leading to a surprisingly precise view realizing the most optimistic original vision. The arguments leading to a revised view about $M^8 - H$ duality were discussed in the first part of the article.

The construction of the scattering amplitudes at the level of H as a counterpart of space-time description of scattering amplitudes was discussed in [L12]. The construction of the TGD analog of momentum space description of the scattering amplitudes was the topic of this article.

4.1 Co-associativity at the level of M^8

The notion of associativity of the tangent or normal space as a number theoretical counterpart of a variational principle is restricted to the associativity of the normal space - co-associativity.

1. They key assumption is that space-time surfaces correspond to roots for real part $Re_Q(p)$ (in quaternionic sense) for an complexified octonionic polynomial $P(o_c)$ obtained as an algebraic continuation of a real polynomial. This assigns to them algebraic extension of rationals.

2. $Re_Q(o) = 0$ and $Im_Q(P) = 0$ allow M^4 and its complement as associative/co-associative subspaces of O_c. The roots $P = 0$ for the complexified octonionic polynomials satisfy two conditions $X = 0$ and $Y = 0$. They are 6-D brane-like entities X^6_c having real projection X^6_r ("real" means that the number theoretic complex valued octonion norm squared is real valued). The condition $Re_Q(P) = X = 0$ gives as a candidate for co-associative surface a complex surface X^4_c which has 4-D real projection X^4_r.

   The equations $X = 0$ and $Y = 0$ are reduced by Lorentz invariance to equations for the ordinary roots of polynomials for the complexified mass squared type variable. The intersection is empty unless X and Y have a common root and X^4_r belongs to X^6_r for a common root.

3. The key observation is that G_2 as the automorphism group of octonions respects the co-associativity of the 4-D real sub-basis of octonions. Therefore a local G_2 (or even $G_{2,c}$) gauge transformation applied to a 4-D co-associative sub-space O_c gives a co-associative four-surface as a real projection.

   The conjecture is that this approach is equivalent with $Y = 0$ conditions so that octonion analyticity would correspond to G_2 gauge transformation: this would realize the original idea about octonion analyticity.

4. Remarkably, the group $SU(3)_c \subset G_{2,c}$ has interpretation as a complexified color group and the map defining space-time surface defines a trivial gauge field in $SU(3)_c$ whereas the connection in $SU(3)$ is non-trivial. Color confinement could mean geometrically that $SU(3)_c$ reduces to $SU(3)$ at large distances. This picture conforms with the H-picture in which gluon gauge potentials are identified as color gauge potentials. Note that at QFT limit the gauge potentials are replaced by their sums over parallel space-time sheets to give gauge fields as the space-time sheets are approximated with a single region of Minkowski space.

4.2 Octonionic Dirac equation and co-associativity

Octonionic Dirac equation provides a second perspective.

1. Octonionic Dirac equation is analog for the momentum space variant of the ordinary Dirac equation. This forces the interpretation of M^8 as an analog of momentum space. Therefore Uncertainty Principle forces a modification of the identification map $M^4 \subset M^8 \rightarrow M^4 \subset H$ in $M^8 - H$ duality to inversion.

2. Co-associative octonions can represent gamma matrix algebra and it also allows a matrix representation. The octonionic Dirac equation is an analog of the momentum space variant of ordinary Dirac equation and forces the interpretation of M^8 as momentum space. The original wrong belief was that mass shell condition implies a localization of the octonionic spinor to a light-like 3 surface, which actually corresponds to light-cone boundary.

3. In the intersection $X^4_r \cap X^6_r$ Dirac equation is trivially satisfied and does not pose any condition on the mass of the quark. $X^4_r \cap X^6_r$is either empty or the space-time surface is in the interior of this 6-D surface so that quarks can propagate in the entire X^4_r. This conforms with the fact that in H picture quark spinors can exist both in the interior of X^4 and at light-like 3-D partonic orbits and 2-D string world sheets. For empty intersection, only massless quarks arriving at the boundary of CD are possible.

   The interpretation is as a number theoretic counterpart for a transitions from massless phase to massive phase. This applies at all levels of dark matter hierarchy. The cognitive representations for both light-like boundary and X^4_r are not generic consisting of a finite set of points but infinite due to the Lorentz symmetry: a kind of cognitive explosion would happen as massivation occurs.

4.3 Construction of the momentum space counter parts of scattering amplitudes in M^8

The construction of scattering amplitudes in M^8 was the main topic of this article. ZEO and the interpretation of M^8 as a momentum space analogous to the interior of the Fermi sphere give powerful constraints on the scattering amplitudes.

1. The fact that $G_{2,c}$ gauge transformation defines space-time surface and the corresponding gauge field vanishes plus the fact that at string world sheets the gauge potential defines a conserved current by holomorphy strongly suggest Yangian symmetry differing from Kac-Moody symmetry in that the analogs of conformal weights are non-negative. This leads to a proposal for how vertex operators can be constructed in terms of co-product using fermionic oscillator operators but with Kronecker delta anti-commutators since the cognitive representation is discrete.

2. The main objection is that the scattering amplitudes are trivial if quark momenta belong to cognitive representations, which are finite in the generic case. This would be the case also in 2-D integrable theories. The objection can be circumvented. First, the huge symmetries imply that cognitive representations can contain a very large - even an infinite - number of points. At partonic 2-surface this number could reduce to finite. Equally importantly, local composites of quark oscillation operators with collinear quark momenta are possible and would be realized in terms of representations of Yangian algebra for $G_{2,c}$ serving as the counterpart for super-symplectic and Kac-Moody algebras at the level of H.

3. ZEO leads to a concrete proposal for the construction of zero energy states - equivalently scattering amplitudes - by using a representation of Yangian algebra realized in terms of positive and negative energy quarks in opposite half-cones. Co-product plays a key role in the construction. Also the proposed local composites of quarks proposed in [L8] make sense.

4. Momentum conservation conditions and mass shell conditions combined with the requirement that the momenta are algebraic integers in the extension of rationals determined by the polynomial P look rather difficult to solve. These conditions however linearize in the sense that one can express the allowed momenta as squares of integer quaternions.

Acknowledgements: I am grateful for Reza Rastmanesh for a generous help in the preparation of the manuscript.

5 Appendix: Some mathematical background about Yangians

In the following necessary mathematical background about Yangians are summarized.

5.1 Yang-Baxter equation (YBE)

YBE has been used for more than four decades in integrable models of statistical mechanics of condensed matter physics and of 2-D quantum field theories (QFTs) [A6]. It appears also in topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) used to classify braids and knots [B2] (see `http://tinyurl.com/mcvvcqp`) and in conformal field theories and models for anyons. Yangian symmetry appears also in the twistor Grassmann approach to scattering amplitudes [B3, B6] and thus involves YBE. At the same time new invariants for links were discovered and a new braid-type relation was found. YBEs emerged also in 2-D conformal field theories.

Yang-Baxter equation (YBE) has a long history described in the excellent introduction to YBE by Jimbo [B8] (see `http://tinyurl.com/l4z6zyr`, where one can also find a list of references). YBE was first discovered by McGuire (1964) and 3 years later by Yang in a quantum mechanical many-body problem involving a delta function potential $\sum_{i<j}\delta(x_i-x_j)$. Using Bethe's Ansatz

for building wave functions they found that the scattering matrix factorized that it could be constructed using as a building brick 2-particle scattering matrix - R-matrix. YBE emerged for the R-matrix as a consistency condition for factorization. Baxter discovered in 1972 a solution of the eight vertex model in terms of YBE. Zamolodchikov pointed out that the algebraic mechanism behind factorization of 2-D QFTs is the same as in condensed matter models.

1978-1979 Faddeev, Sklyanin, and Takhtajan proposed a quantum inverse scattering method as a unification of classical and quantum integrable models. Eventually the work with YBE led to the discovery of the notion of quantum group by Drinfeld. Quantum group can be regarded as a deformation $U_q(g)$ of the universal enveloping algebra $U(g)$ of Lie algebra. Drinfeld also introduced the universal R-matrix, which does not depend on the representation of algebra used.

R-matrix satisfying YBE is now the common aspect of all quantum algebras. I am not a specialist in YBE and can only list the basic points of Jimbo's article. The interested reader can look for details and references in the article of Jimbo.

In 2-D quantum field theories R-matrix $R(u)$ depends on one parameter u identifiable as hyperbolic angle characterizing the velocity of the particle. $R(u)$ characterizes the interaction experienced by two particles having delta function potential passing each other (see the figure of `http://tinyurl.com/kyw6xu6`). In 2-D quantum field theories and in models for basic gate in topological quantum computation the R-matrix is unitary. R-matrix can be regarded as an endomorphism mapping $V_1 \otimes V_2$ to $V_2 \otimes V_1$ representing permutation of the particles.

5.1.1 YBE

R-matrix satisfies Yang-Baxter equation (YBE)

$$R_{23}(u)R_{13}(u+v)R_{12}(v) = R_{12}(v)R_{13}(u+v)R_{23}(u) \tag{5.1}$$

having interpretation as associativity condition for quantum algebras.

At the limit $u, v \to \infty$ one obtains R-matrix characterizing braiding operation of braid strands. Replacement of permutation of the strands with braiding operation replaces permutation group for n strands with its covering group. YBE states that the braided variants of identical permutations (23)(13)(12) and (12)(13)(23) are identical.

The equations represent n^6 equations for n^4 unknowns and are highly over-determined so that solving YBE is a difficult challenge. Equations have symmetries, which are obvious on the basis of the topological interpretation. Scaling and automorphism induced by linear transformations of V act as symmetries, and the exchange of tensor factors in $V \otimes V$ and transposition are symmetries as also shift of all indices by a constant amount (using modulo N arithmetics).

One can pose to the R-matrix some boundary condition. For $V \otimes V$ the condition states that $R(0)$ is proportional to the permutation matrix P for the factors.

5.1.2 General results about YBE

The following lists general results about YBE.

1. Belavin and Drinfeld proved that the solutions of YBE can be continued to meromorphic functions in the complex plane with poles forming an Abelian group. R-matrices can be classified to rational, trigonometric, and elliptic R-matrices existing only for $sl(n)$. Rational and trigonometric solutions have a pole at origin and elliptic solutions have a lattice of poles. In [B8] (see `http://tinyurl.com/l4z6zyr`) simplest examples about R-matrices for $V_1 = V_2 = C^2$ are discussed, one of each type.

2. In [B8] it is described how the notions of R-matrix can be generalized to apply to a collection of vector spaces, which need not be identical. The interpretation is as commutation relations of abstract algebra with co-product Δ - say quantum algebra or Yangian algebra. YBE guarantees the associativity of the algebra.

3. One can define quasi-classical R-matrices as R-matrices depending on Planck constant like parameter $\hbar$ (which need have anythingto do with Planck constant) such that small values of

u one has $R = constant \times (I + \hbar r(u) + O(\hbar^2))$. $r(u)$ is called classical r-matrix and satisfies CYBE conditions

$$[r_{12}(u), r_{13}(u+v)] + [r_{12}(u), r_{23}(v)] + [r_{13}(u+v), r_{23}(v)] = 0$$

obtained by linearizing YBE. $r(u)$ defines a deformation of Lie-algebra respecting Jacobi-identities. There are also non-quasi-classical solutions. The universal solution for r-matrix is formulated in terms of Lie-algebra so that the representation spaces V_i can be any representation spaces of the Lie-algebra.

4. Drinfeld constructed quantum algebras $U_q(g)$ as quantized universal enveloping algebras $U_q(g)$ of a Lie algebra g. One starts from a classical r-matrix r and Lie algebra g. The idea is to perform a "quantization" of the Lie-algebra as a deformation of the universal enveloping algebra $U_q(g)$ of $U(g)$ by r. Drinfeld introduces a universal R-matrix independent of the representation used. This construction will not be discussed here since it does not seem to be as interesting as Yangian: in this case co-product Δ does not seem to have a natural interpretation as a description of interaction. The quantum groups are characterized by parameter $q \in C$.For a generic value the representation theory of q-groups does not differ from the ordinary one. For roots of unity situation changes due to degeneracy caused by the fact $q^N = 1$ for some N.

5. The article of Jimbo discusses also a fusion procedure initiated by Kulish, Restetikhin, and Sklyanin allowing to construct new R-matrices from existing one. Fusion generalizes the method used to construct group representation as powers of fundamental representation. Fusion procedure constructs the R-matrix in $W \otimes V^2$, where one has $W = W_1 \otimes W_2 \subset V \otimes V^1$. Picking W is analogous to picking a subspace of tensor product representation $V \otimes V^1$.

5.2 Yangian

Yangian algebra $Y(g(u))$ is associative Hopf algebra (see `http://tinyurl.com/qfl8dwu`) that is bi-algebra consisting of associative algebra characterized by product μ: $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ with unit element 1 satisfying $\mu(1, a) = a$ and co-associative co-algebra consisting of co-product $\Delta A \in A \otimes A$ and co-unit $\epsilon : A \rightarrow C$ satisfying $\epsilon \circ \Delta(a) = a$. Product and co-product are "time reversals" of each other. Besides this one has antipode S as algebra anti-homomorphism $S(ab) = S(b)S(a)$. YBE has interpretation as an associativity condition for co-algebra $(\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta = (1 \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$. Also ϵ satisfies associativity condition $(\epsilon \otimes 1) \circ \Delta = (1 \otimes \epsilon) \circ \Delta$.

There are many alternative formulations for Yangian and twisted Yangian listed in the slides of Vidas Regelskis at `http://tinyurl.com/ms9q8u4`. Drinfeld has given two formulations and there is FRT formulation of Faddeev, Restetikhin and Takhtajan.

Drinfeld's formulation [B8] (see `http://tinyurl.com/qfl8dwu`) involves the notions of Lie bi-algebra and Manin triple, which corresponds to the triplet formed by half-loop algebras with positive and negative conformal weights, and full loop algebra. There is isomorphism mapping the generating elements of positive weight and negative weight loop algebra to the elements of loop algebra with conformal weights 0 and 1. The integer label n for positive half loop algebra corresponds in the formulation based on Manin triple to conformal weight. The alternative interpretation for $n + 1$ would be as the number of factors in the tensor power of algebra and would in TGD framework correspond to the number of partonic 2-surfaces. In this interpretation the isomorphism becomes confusing.

In any case, one has two interpretations for $n + 1 \geq 1$: either as parton number or as occupation number for harmonic oscillator having interpretation as bosonic occupation number in quantum field theories. The relationship between Fock space description and classical description for n-particle states has remained somewhat mysterious and one can wonder whether these two interpretations improve the understanding of classical correspondence (QCC).

5.2.1 Witten's formulation of Yangian

The following summarizes my understanding about Witten's formulation of Yangian for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY [B2], which does not mention explicitly the connection with half loop algebras and loop algebra and considers only the generators of Yangian and the relations between them. This formulation gives the explicit form of Δ and looks natural, when n corresponds to parton number. Also Witten's formulation for Super Yangian will be discussed.

However, it must be emphasized that Witten's approach is not general enough for the purposes of TGD. Witten uses the identification $\Delta(J_1^A) = f^A_{BC}J_0^B \times J_0^C$ instead of the general expression $\Delta(J_1^A) = J_1^A \otimes 1 + 1 \times J_1^A + f^A_{BC}J_0^B \times J_0^C$ needed in TGD strongly suggested by the dual roles of the super-symplectic conformal algebra and super-conformal algebra associated with the light-like partonic orbits realizing generalized EP. There is also a nice analogy with the conformal symmetry and its dual twistor Grassmann approach.

The elements of Yangian algebra are labelled by non-negative integers so that there is a close analogy with the algebra spanned by the generators of Virasoro algebra with non-negative conformal weight. The Yangian symmetry algebra is defined by the following relations for the generators labeled by integers $n = 0$ and $n = 1$. The first half of these relations discussed in very clear manner in [B2] follows uniquely from the fact that adjoint representation of the Lie algebra is in question

$$\left[J^A, J^B\right] = f^{AB}_C J^C \quad , \quad \left[J^A, J^{(1)B}\right] = f^{AB}_C J^{(1)C} \quad . \tag{5.2}$$

Besides this Serre relations are satisfied. These have more complex form and read as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[J^{(1)A},\left[J^{(1)B},J^C\right]\right] + \left[J^{(1)B},\left[J^{(1)C},J^A\right]\right] + \left[J^{(1)C},\left[J^{(1)A},J^B\right]\right] \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{24} f^{ADK} f^{BEL} f^{CFM} f_{KLM}\{J_D, J_E, J_F\} \ , \\
&\left[\left[J^{(1)A},J^{(1)B}\right],\left[J^C,J^{(1)D}\right]\right] + \left[\left[J^{(1)C},J^{(1)D}\right],\left[J^A,J^{(1)B}\right]\right] \\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{24}(f^{AGL} f^{BEM} f^{CD}_{K} \\
&\qquad + f^{CGL} f^{DEM} f^{AB}_{K}) f^{KFN} f_{LMN}\{J_G, J_E, J_F\} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

The indices of the Lie algebra generators are raised by invariant, non-degenerate metric tensor g_{AB} or g^{AB}. $\{A, B, C\}$ denotes the symmetrized product of three generators.

The right hand side often has coefficient $\hbar^2$ insteadof $1/24$. $\hbar$ need not have anything to do with Planck constant and as noticedin the main text has dimension of $1/\hbar$. The Serre relations give constraints on the commutation relations of $J^{(1)A}$. For $J^{(1)A} = J^A$ the first Serre relation reduces to Jacobi identity and second to the antisymmetry of the Lie bracket. The right hand side involved completely symmetrized trilinears $\{J_D, J_E, J_F\}$ making sense in the universal covering of the Lie algebra defined by J^A.

Repeated commutators allow to generate the entire algebra, whose elements are labeled by a non-negative integer n. The generators obtained in this manner are n-local operators arising in $(n-1)$-commutator of $J^{(1)}$: s. For $SU(2)$ the Serre relations are trivial. For other cases the first Serre relation implies the second one so the relations are redundant. Why Witten includes it is for the purposeof demonstrating the conditions for the existence of Yangians associated with discrete one-dimensional lattices (Yangians existalso for continuum one-dimensional index).

Under certain consistency conditions, a discrete one-dimensional lattice provides a representation for the Yangian algebra. One assumes that each lattice point allows a representation R of J^A so that one has $J^A = \sum_i J_i^A$ acting on the infinite tensor power of the representation considered. The expressions for the generators J^{1A} in Witten's approach are given as

$$J^{(1)A} \quad = \quad f^A_{BC} \sum_{i<j} J_i^B J_j^C \quad . \tag{5.4}$$

This formula gives the generators in the case of conformal algebra. This representation exists if the adjoint representation of G appears only one in the decomposition of $R \otimes R$. This is the case for SU(N) if R is the fundamental representation or is the representation of by k^{th} rank completely antisymmetric tensors.

This discussion does not apply as such to $\mathcal{N}=4$ case the number of lattice points is finite and corresponds to the number of external particles so that cyclic boundary conditions are needed guarantee that the number of lattice points reduces effectively to a finite number. Note that the Yangian in color degrees of freedom does not exist for SU(N) SYM.

As noticed, Yangian algebra is a Hopf algebra and therefore allows co-product. The co-product Δ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(J^A) &= J^A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J^A \ , \\ \Delta(J^{(1)A}) &= J^{(1)A} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J^{(1)A} + f^A_{BC} J^B \otimes J^C\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Δ allows to imbed Lie algebra into the tensor product in a non-trivial manner and the non-triviality comes from the addition of the dual generator to the trivial co-product. In the case that the single spin representation of $J^{(1)A}$ is trivial, the co-product gives just the expression of the dual generator using the ordinary generators as a non-local generator. This is assumed in the recent case and also for the generators of the conformal Yangian.

5.2.2 Super-Yangian

Also the Yangian extensions of Lie super-algebras make sense. From the point of physics especially interesting Lie super-algebras are $SU(m|m)$ and $U(m|m)$. The reason is that $PSU(2,2|4)$ (P refers to "projective") acting as super-conformal symmetries of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM and this super group is a real form of $PSU(4|4)$. The main point of interest is whether this algebra allows Yangian representation and Witten demonstrated that this is indeed the case [B2].

These algebras are Z_2 graded and decompose to bosonic and fermionic parts which in general correspond to n- and m-dimensional representations of $U(n)$. The representation associated with the fermionic part dictates the commutation relations between bosonic and fermionic generators. The anti-commutator of fermionic generators can involve besides the unit operator also bosonic generators if the symmetrized tensor product in question contains adjoint representation. This is the case if fermions are in the fundamental representation and its conjugate. For $SU(3)$ the symmetrized tensor product of adjoint representations contains adjoint (the completely symmetric structure constants d_{abc}) and this might have some relevance for the super $SU(3)$ symmetry.

The elements of these algebras in the matrix representation (no Grassmann parameters involved) can be written in the followingform

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \ .$$

a and d representing the bosonic part of the algebra are $n\times n$ matrices and $m\times m$ matrices corresponding to the dimensions of bosonic and fermionic representations. b and c are fermionic matrices are $n\times m$ and $m\times n$ matrices, whose anti-commutator is the direct sum of $n\times n$ and $n\times n$ matrices. For $n=m$ bosonic generators transform like Lie algebra generators of $SU(n)\times SU(n)$ whereas fermionic generators transform like $n\otimes\overline{n}\oplus\overline{n}\otimes n$ under $SU(n)\times SU(n)$. Supertrace is defined as $Str(x)=Tr(a)-Tr(b)$. The vanishing of Str defines $SU(n|m)$. For $n\neq m$ the super trace condition removes the identity matrix and $PU(n|m)$ and $SU(n|m)$ are the same. This does not happen for $n=m$: this is an important delicacy since this case corresponds to $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM. If any two matrices differing by an additive scalar are identified (projective scaling as a new physical effect) one obtains $PSU(n|n)$ and this is what one is interested in.

Witten shows that the condition that adjoint is contained only once in the tensor product $R\otimes\overline{R}$ holds true for the physically interesting representations of $PSU(2,2|4)$ so that the generalization of the bilinear formula can be used to define the generators of $J^{(1)A}$ of super Yangian of $PU(2,2|4)$. The defining formula for the generators of the Super Yangian reads as

$$\begin{aligned}J^{(1)}_C &= g_{CC'}J^{(1)C'} = g_{CC'}f^{C'}_{AB}\sum_{i<j}J^A_i J^B_j \\ &= g_{CC'}f^{C'}_{AB}g^{AA'}g^{BB'}\sum_{i<j}J^i_{A'}J^j_{B'} \ .\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Here $g_{AB} = Str(J_A J_B)$ is the metric defined by super trace and distinguishes between $PSU(4|4)$ and $PSU(2,2|4)$. In this formula both generators and super generators appear.

REFERENCES

Mathematics

[A1] Fundamental domain. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fundamental_domain.

[A2] Honeycomb geometry. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Honeycomb_(geometry).

[A3] List of regular polytopes and compounds. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_regular_polytopes_and_compounds.

[A4] Uniform honeycomes in hyperbolic space. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uniform_honeycombs_in_hyperbolic_space.

[A5] Yangian symmetry. Available at: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Yangian.

[A6] MacKay NJ. Introduction to Yangian symmetry in integrable field theory, 2009. Available at: http://wenku.baidu.com/view/01e4ebdbad51f01dc281f180.html.

[A7] Hajac PM. On and around the Drinfeld double. Available at: http://www.impan.pl/~burgunde/WSBC09/Ddouble_Hajac.pdf.

Theoretical Physics

[B1] Trnka Y Arkani-Hamed N. The Amplituhedron, 2013. Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.2007.

[B2] Witten E Dolan L, Nappi CR. Yangian Symmetry in $D = 4$ superconformal Yang-Mills theory, 2004. Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0401243.

[B3] Plefka J Drummond J, Henn J. Yangian symmetry of scattering amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, 2009. Available at: http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/1162372/files/jhep052009046.pdf.

[B4] Huang Y-T Elvang H. Scattering amplitudes, 2013. Available at: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1308.1697v1.pdf.

[B5] Arkani-Hamed N et al. The S-Matrix in Twistor Space, 2009. Available at:https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.2110.

[B6] Arkani-Hamed N et al. The All-Loop Integrand For Scattering Amplitudes in Planar N=4 SYM, 2010. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1008.2958.

[B7] Loebbert F. Lectures on Yangian Symmetry, 2016. Available at: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1606.02947.pdf.

[B8] Jimbo M. Introduction to the Yang-Baxter equation. *Int J Mod Phys. A*, 4(15):3759–3777, 1989. Available at: http://tinyurl.com/l4z6zyr.

[B9] Trnka Y. Grassmannian Origin of Scattering Amplitudes, 2013. Available at: https://www.princeton.edu/physics/graduate-program/theses/theses-from-2013/Trnka-Thesis.pdf.

Particle and Nuclear Physics

[C1] Adam J et al. Measurement of the longitudinal spin asymmetries for weak boson production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 510\text{GeV}$. *Phys.Rev. D*, 2019. Available at: https://journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.051102.

Books related to TGD

[K1] Pitkänen M. Massless states and particle massivation. In *p-Adic Physics*. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/mless.pdf, 2006.

[K2] Pitkänen M. New Particle Physics Predicted by TGD: Part I. In *p-Adic Physics*. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/mass4.pdf, 2006.

[K3] Pitkänen M. Does TGD Predict the Spectrum of Planck Constants? In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy: Part I*. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/Planck.pdf, 2019.

[K4] Pitkänen M. Evolution of Ideas about Hyper-finite Factors in TGD. In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy: Part II*. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/vNeumannnew.pdf, 2019.

[K5] Pitkänen M. Is Non-Associative Physics and Language Possible Only in Many-Sheeted Space-Time? In *Towards M-Matrix: Part II*. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/braidparse.pdf, 2019.

[K6] Pitkänen M. Was von Neumann Right After All? In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy: Part I*. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/vNeumann.pdf, 2019.

Articles about TGD

[L1] Pitkänen M. Geometric theory of harmony. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/harmonytheory.pdf., 2014.

[L2] Pitkänen M. About $h_{eff}/h = n$ as the number of sheets of space-time surface as Galois covering. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Galoisext.pdf., 2017.

[L3] Pitkänen M. Could categories, tensor networks, and Yangians provide the tools for handling the complexity of TGD? Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Yangianagain.pdf., 2017.

[L4] Pitkänen M. $M^8 - H$ duality and consciousness. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8Hconsc.pdf., 2019.

[L5] Pitkänen M. $M^8 - H$ duality and the two manners to describe particles. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/susysupertwistor.pdf., 2019.

[L6] Pitkänen M. New results related to $M^8 - H$ duality. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8Hduality.pdf., 2019.

[L7] Pitkänen M. Some comments related to Zero Energy Ontology (ZEO). Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeoquestions.pdf., 2019.

[L8] Pitkänen M. SUSY in TGD Universe. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/susyTGD.pdf., 2019.

[L9] Pitkänen M. Fermionic variant of $M^8 - H$ duality. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8Hfermion.pdf., 2020.

[L10] Pitkänen M. How to compose beautiful music of light in bio-harmony? Research Gate: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344623253_How_to_compose_beautiful_music_of_light_in_bio-harmony., 2020.

[L11] Pitkänen M. The dynamics of SSFRs as quantum measurement cascades in the group algebra of Galois group. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/SSFRGalois.pdf., 2020.

[L12] Pitkänen M. Zero energy ontology, hierarchy of Planck constants, and Kähler metric replacing unitary S-matrix: three pillars of new quantum theory (long version). Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/kahlersmhort.pdf., 2020.