

ANITA anomaly, JWST observation challenging the interpretation of CMB, and star formation in the remnant of a star

July 8, 2025

Matti Pitkänen

orcid:0000-0002-8051-4364.

email: matpitka6@gmail.com,

url: http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/,

address: Valtatie 8, as. 2, 03600, Karkkila, Finland.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Could the new ANITA anomaly be due to dark cosmic rays?	2
3	Is the origin of the dominant part of CMB really what it is believed to be?	3
4	Ring Nebula as evidence for the TGD view of planets and stars and their formation	5

Abstract

This article was inspired by recent findings challenging the standard model view of physics. The ANITA anomaly challenges the standard model view of particle physics and suggests the existence of dark matter type particles able to penetrate distances of several thousands kilometers through the Earth.

The second puzzling observation is theoretical. The very early large galaxies detected by JWST do not fit easily to the standard cosmology. Their existence also challenges the prevailing view about the origin of the cosmic microwave background (CMB). These galaxies are very active and generate dust and radiation, which is in thermal equilibrium with matter. When thermal decoupling occurs, a background radiation analogous to CMB is generated and the estimate is that it can contribute at least 1.4 per cent to the CMB and even dominate it. This challenges the standard narrative about cosmic evolution. In this article these two findings are discussed from the TGD perspective.

The third unexpected observation is that the white dwarf produced as a remnant of a star seems to be a seat for the formation of planets.

The explanation for the formation of planets in the white dwarf in turn supports the TGD view of stars as analogs of organic life forms which are living and metabolize. Are stars living, metabolizing systems that are born, flourish, and die?. Do the remnants of a star give rise to a reincarnation of the star generating its own planetary system by these explosions as TGD counterparts for a smooth cosmic expansion? Do stars form networks analogous to multicellular systems communicating using the signals propagating parallel to the monopole flux tubes?

1 Introduction

This article discusses some recent anomalies related to particle physics, cosmology and astrophysics.

ANITA is designed to detect neutrinos by the radio wave pulses created by the showers that they generate. There are several events, which can be explained in terms of neutrinos with ultrahigh energies. This conclusion is due to the fact that they penetrate a very long distance through the Earth. Now ANITA has detected events in which the particle possibly causing them should have travelled a distance of thousands of kilometers inside Earth [C1]. This is not possible in standard model physics.

The second puzzling observation is theoretical [E3]. JWST observation of very early galaxies not plausible in standard cosmology forces to challenge the origin of the cosmic microwave background (CMB). These galaxies are very active and generate dust and radiation which is in thermal equilibrium with matter. When the decoupling occurs a background radiation analogous to CMB can be generated and the conservative estimate is that it can contribute at least 1-4 per cent to the CMB and even dominate it. This challenges the standard narrative of cosmic evolution.

The third unexpected observation is that the white dwarf produced as a remnant of a star seems to be a seat for the formation of planets (see this). Also a rocky planet associated with a dead star is found (see this).

TGD suggests a solution of the ANITA anomaly in terms of phases of the ordinary matter characterized by large effective Planck constant h_{eff} and behaving like dark matter. These phases are not identifiable as galactic dark matter which has an interpretation as a TGD analog of dark energy assignable to what I call cosmic strings. Dark cosmic rays or dark photons could cause the anomalous radio wave pulses.

TGD predicts a cosmology [L10], which differs dramatically from the standard cosmology. The early cosmology would be dominated by cosmic strings, 4-surfaces in $H = M^4 \times CP_2$ having 2-D string world sheet as M^4 projection. In the transition to Einsteinian space-time, cosmic strings would form tangles, thicken and liberate energy transforming to ordinary matter. This process is analogous to inflation but could occur much later and be responsible for the formation of galaxies and stars as tangles of thickened cosmic strings.

TGD also predicts fractal hierarchy of hadron physics characterized by the p-adic length scale. This forces us to ask whether the TGD view of nuclear physics, in particular solar nuclear physics, and even cosmological nucleosynthesis could dramatically differ from the standard narrative [L10, L13]. Therefore it is interesting to try to interpret the findings of James Webb in this perspective.

The explanation for the formation of planets in the white dwarf in turn supports the TGD view of stars as analogs of organic life forms which are living and metabolize [L13]. Are stars living, metabolizing systems that are born, flourish, and die?. Do the remnants of a star give rise to a reincarnation of the star generating its own planetary system by these explosions as TGD counterparts for a smooth cosmic expansion? Do stars form networks analogous to multicellular systems communicating using the signals propagating parallel to the monopole flux tubes?

2 Could the new ANITA anomaly be due to dark cosmic rays?

ANITA, a balloon-borne radio detector flying over Antarctica was designed to detect neutrinos. Recently, it has however detected something totally unexpected: strange radio pulses rising from beneath the ice (see this). The strange radio waves detected by ANITA came from way below the ice, at angles so steep —like 30 degrees —that they should have traveled through thousands of kilometers of solid rock. Standard physics does not allow this. Pierre Auger Collaboration has carried out a search for the counterparts of events similar to those detected by ANITA [C1] (see this).

The first particle physics based guess would be that the radio wave pulses originated from a collision of ultrahigh energy neutrinos propagating through the Earth. The neutrino would have interacted with the condensed matter creating relativistic particles, which give rise coherent Cerenkov radiation (see this) at radio wave frequencies. A backwards directed cone at which the emission is maximal would be created. Sonic boom occurring as an object moves with a velocity larger than the sound velocity of the medium is a good analogy.

The Cerenkov radiation is created in condensed matter at energies, which correspond to the frequencies associated with core electronic transitions in condensed matter since the refractive index n is smaller than 1 below these frequencies so that the phase velocity $v_{ph} = c/n$ is smaller than c and therefore below the velocity of the particle. These frequencies correspond to radio frequencies so that the primary signals need not be at radio frequencies.

The interpretation of the events in terms of UHE neutrinos fails. The distance travelled by the neutrino inside the Earth should be 6000-7000 km. The interpretation in terms of ultra heavy neutrinos with energies in the range of .2 EeV ($.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ eV}$) requires that the neutrino should have travelled 10-12 interaction lengths so that the probability of the events creating the shower by the resulting tau leptons is quite too small. New physics seems to be involved. Particle physicists would propose new extremely weakly interacting particles, perhaps dark matter particles decaying to ordinary particles.

TGD predicts the existence of a hierarchy of phases of ordinary matter with non-standard value of Planck constant h_{eff} , which can be very large so that these phases are quantum coherent in long length scales. These phases behave like dark matter and would reside at the field/magnetic bodies, which are the TGD counterpart for classical fields.

These new phases need not have anything to do with the galactic dark matter in the TGD framework: galactic dark matter would be analogous to dark energy and correspond to magnetic and volume energy associated with what I call cosmic strings [L10]. The observed disappearance of baryonic matter during the cosmic evolution could correspond to a gradual transformation of ordinary protons to dark protons at the field bodies. The $h_{eff} > h$ phases, in particular dark protons and dark photons, are the key players in the TGD inspired quantum biology [L3, L4, L7].

These (effectively) dark particles could propagate along the field (magnetic) body of the Earth and would have a scaled up interaction length proportional to $h_{eff}/h > 1$. Their transformation to ordinary particles with $h_{eff} = h$ would generate the shower. Also the transformation of dark photons to ordinary photons could create pairs of charged particles and lead to a generation of showers.

The identification of dark particles as dark variants of cosmic rays could also explain the unexpected finding of James Webb telescope of very distant galaxies [L10]. In standard cosmology, these galaxies should not be visible. Could the dark radiation arrive along monopole flux tubes connecting stars to the galactic center or even galactic blackhole?

This explanation is of course only the first guess and a lot of work is needed to check whether it works at a quantitative level.

3 Is the origin of the dominant part of CMB really what it is believed to be?

Sabine Hossenfelder talked about a highly interesting recent theoretical finding related to the origin of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) (see this). The Youtube video tells about the article of Gjergo and Kroup [E3] raising the question that the so called early-type galaxies (ETGs) found by James Webb telescope, could give to CMB an additional contribution, which according to the most conservative estimate is 1.4 per cent and can even dominate of the ordinary contribution if present. This could mean a revolution in cosmology and is therefore extremely interesting from the TGD point of view.

Consider first some background.

1. Consider first the standard model for the origin of CMB. The standard cosmology assumes plasma phase. In the very early stages quarks and gluons were free. The nucleosynthesis took place and eventually the formation of atoms became possible as the temperature of plasma consisting mostly of hydrogen was low enough.

Thermal radiation decoupled from thermal equilibrium and the universe became opaque. The radiation temperature started to decrease like $1/a$, a is the scale factor of the Universe, which in TGD is identifiable as light-cone proper time for causal diamond (CD). The age of the Universe neutralization was about $t_{rec} \sim .379 \text{ My}$. Later a reheating occurred and ionized the atoms and the Universe became transparent. This was due to the formation of stars which generated radiation and the solar wind.

Some numbers are in order. The recent age of the universe is about $t = 1.4 \times 10^4$ My. The temperature in the decoupling was 3000 K so that $a_0/a(t) = (3/2.75) \times 10^3 \sim 10^3$. $t_0/t \simeq 1.4/.379 \times 10^4$.

2. Very massive early-type galaxies (ETG) were studied theoretically by using the data provided by James Webb telescope. $a_0/a(t) = 1 + z$, z was in the range. They produced dust and radiation in thermal equilibrium with it. When the age of the Universe was roughly $t(ETG) \sim 500$ My (considerably longer than $t = 1.4 \times 10^4$ My) the radiation decoupled from the thermal equilibrium and gave an additional contribution to CMB. The lower bound for the contribution is 1.4 per cent but it could be even of order one and could even dominate. LambdaCDM view of dark matter is assumed in the theoretical considerations of [E3] so one must be cautious with comparison with the TGD view. The vision is that rapid star formation generated dust and radiation, which was thermalized. Decoupling from matter occurred and the analog of CMB was generated.
3. This finding does not challenge the Big Bang but can challenge the narrative about how stars and galaxies emerged. This finding could in fact change the entire cosmology of the time before these very rapidly forming galaxies appeared.

This together with the TGD view of cosmic evolution, forces to challenge the narrative about the cosmic evolution before the nucleosynthesis [L10] and even after that the plasma, formed from hydrogen atoms and light nuclei need not have been present in considerable amounts. The assumption that the gravitational condensation of hydrogen and other atoms give rise to the formation of stars and galaxies, might be wrong.

Was there any plasma phase? Was there any primordial nucleosynthesis? Was there any CMB in the standard sense? Was there any gravitational condensation of the ordinary matter to form stars?

TGD indeed suggests a completely different cosmology and astrophysics before the formation of these strange galaxies [K6, K1, K7, K2, K3, L10].

1. In the TGD framework, the decay of cosmic strings by forming tangles and thickening would produce ordinary particles as liberated energy giving rise to stars and galaxies. The long cosmic strings would thicken and produce ordinary matter in a way similar to the decay of the vacuum expectations of inflationary fields to ordinary matter. Galaxies and even stars need not form as ordinary matter undergoes gravitational condensation.

This mechanism together with the zero energy ontology (ZEO) allowing time reversal in ordinary state function reductions, could explain the rapid formation of early-type galaxies. The decay of the cosmic strings could have produced ordinary matter and also stars and galaxies. An elegant explanation for the galactic dark matter and predictions for the flat velocity spectrum of distant stars around galaxies emerges [K6, K1, L10]. It is not clear whether the primordial plasma, formed from hydrogen atoms and light nuclei, has been present in considerable amounts.

2. Dirac equation for $H = M^4 \times CP_2$, assuming that M^4 has Kähler structure predicts, that colored states, in particular quarks and gluons cannot exist as light particles. Only hadrons and leptons are possible and also their heavier counterparts [L18].

This distinguishes dramatically between the standard model and TGD. The infinite hierarchy of color partial waves of quarks and leptons gives rise to corresponding hierarchies of massless hadrons and leptons, which generate thermal mass squared by p-adic thermodynamics. There would be no "desert" predicted by GUTs. Quark gluon plasma has not been present in the early Universe. Instead, cosmic strings would have dominated and colored states would have been present only at temperatures very near to Hagedorn temperature of order CP_2 mass assignable to cosmic strings, 4-D objects with 2-D string world sheet as M^4 projection, which dominated the mass density. Einsteinian space-time with 4-D M^4 projection did not yet exist and was generated in the transition to radiation dominated phase.

3. p-Adically scaled versions of hadron physics are predicted. They correspond to light colorless hadrons formed from fermion modes corresponding to different color partial waves [K4, K5]. This could completely revolutionize the nuclear physics of the Sun [L13]. This could also revolutionize the physics of the early Universe, at least before the stabilization of atoms, because quarks and gluons would not exist except at temperatures of order CP_2 mass scale, which is of order 10^{-4} Planck masses. This could revolutionize cosmology even after that if the plasma, consisting of protons and light nuclei, is actually created as galaxies and stars were born as tangles of cosmic strings.
4. The decay of cosmic strings to ordinary matter by a step-wise p-adic cooling from Hagedorn temperature determined by CP_2 mass scale [K4, K5]. Last year, I wrote an article considering the possibility that the nuclear physics of the Sun could differ dramatically from the standard view. At the solar surface, the M_{89} hadrons with a mass scale, which is 512 the mass scale of ordinary hadrons, associated with monopole flux tubes connecting Sun to the galactic nucleus would decay into ordinary hadrons and produce solar radiation and the solar wind. The interior of the Sun would be something completely different from what has been assumed, being analogous to the cell nucleus.

This decay, occurring by p-adic cooling [L13], could produce a plasma consisting of hydrogen atoms and light atoms as galaxies and stars were formed. This plasma would be created much later than has been assumed and would not be primordial! Weinberg's classic "The first 3 minutes" would become a historical curiosity!

This proposal also relates to another key problem of cosmology.

1. After the neutralization of the plasma believed to generate the CMB, the Universe was opaque since the radiation was absorbed by neutral atoms and could not propagate. At this time there were no galaxies and stars yet. A key problem of cosmology is what caused the sudden ionization of the neutral gas, mostly hydrogen, leading to its ionization so that the radiation from very early periods could propagate in the plasma and the Universe became transparent. This problem is discussed in the article of Ethan Siegel in Big-Think (see this). According to this article, the finding of the James Webb telescope that large galaxies evolved very fast in the very early Universe suggests a possible answer: perhaps their formation ionized the neutral gas and made the Universe transparent. Their number is however too small. Isak Wold et al have proposed that smaller galaxies, formed very early, could have generated the ionizing radiation [E2].
2. What about the TGD view of the problem? Could the generation of galaxies in the proposed manner ionize the neutral gas or could it have even created the plasma as an analog of solar wind? Note that the density of neutral gas could have been considerably lower before this stage if the proposed picture is taken seriously. According to the Big-Think article, the generation of ETGs would not have been enough and the generation of small very early galaxies would also be needed.

Another option suggested by TGD is that light from very early stars arrives along monopole flux tubes forming an analog of a telecommunication network. If the thickness of the flux tube is constant, the intensity of the radiation is not reduced during the travel for a given flux tube and signals from very early times are possible. The light could even arrive as photons which are dark in the sense that they have a large value of the effective Planck constant \hbar_{eff} so that dissipation is small. This option could also solve the new ANITA anomaly due to the observation of radiowave pulses which seem to be created by cosmic rays which have propagate very long distance through Earth [C1].

4 Ring Nebula as evidence for the TGD view of planets and stars and their formation

Ethan Siegel posted to BigThink a highly interesting article "Did JWST catch the Ring Nebula forming new planets?" (see this). Planets are observed in the nebula.

I glue here the description of the article almost as such.

1. The standard view is that when hydrogen depletes in the core of the Sun, it will expand to a red giant. Mercury, Venus, and likely also Earth will be devoured. The Oort cloud, Kuiper belt, and possibly even Neptune and Uranus. Therefore the presence of planets in the Ring Nebula is surprising. Finally a white dwarf will form and ionizes the previous ejecta.
2. The observations of JWST of Ring Nebula at a distance about 2000 ly however suggest that the story continues. Ring Nebula possesses a ring, lobes and inner and outer halos. Inside many different chemical elements can be detected. Polar flows of CO^+ ions inside a barrel shaped material are observed. The dying star's remnant is centrally located but a long suspected companion star remains elusive. JWST research, focusing on the Ring Nebula's interior and central regions, is vitally important. The central star is surrounded by a compact dust cloud, revealed at long wavelengths (above ~ 5 microns). These dusty features resemble young protoplanetary and dusty debris disks.

The formation of planets in this way does not conform with the standard view that planets are formed from a proto-disk. This may mark a new, unforeseen planet-forming phase. Perhaps white dwarf systems spawn new planets, even after dying.

In the TGD based cosmology, the smooth cosmic expansion is replaced with fast explosive events, mini bigbangs, in with the size of the astrophysical objects suddenly increases or it throws out a layer to which a magnetic bubble consisting of a network of monopole flux tubes is formed. This view revolutionizes the view about the formation of planets and smaller structures.

1. The ring nebula discussed in the article having several layers brings to mind the TGD based proposal for the formation of planets. The central star would suffer an explosion throwing out spherical shells from its surface and these shells could (not necessarily) later condense to rings and these in turn would form planets. This mechanism could replace the standard model for the formation of planets as a gravitational condensation of protodisk.

For magnetic bubbles see this and this. For solar anomalies see this and this.

Vega is a star with proto disk-like structure but, contrary to the expectations, has no planets [L16].

2. Even the planets could explode and create moons and rings in this way. Moon and Deimos and Phobos, the moons of Mars, could have formed in this kind of explosion [L12, L19, L11].
3. Cambrian Explosion for Earth would have caused expansion of radius of Earth by factor 2 and led to the bursts of underground oceans containing highly evolved multicellulars to the surface of the Earth [L6, L5].

How could this vision relate to the findings of JWST? It is good to first describe briefly some aspect of the TGD view of astrophysics described in the article "Some solar mysteries" [L13].

1. The article relies on new hadron- and nuclear physics predicted by TGD. In particular, scaled up copies of hadron physics are predicted and M_{89} hadron physics have a mass scale which is 512 times the mass scale of ordinary nucleons [K4, K5].
2. Also involved is zero energy ontology (ZEO) [L2], which solves the basic problem of quantum measurement theory and predicts that the arrow of time changes in "big" state function reductions. This would happen even in astrophysical scales.
3. The number theoretic view of physics [L14, L15, L8, L9, L17] in turn predicts that quantum coherence is possible even in astrophysical scales. Nottale proposed that the notion of gravitational Planck constant \hbar_{gr} makes sense for classical long range gravitational fields and considered a model of the planetary system as an analog of atom [E1]. The value of \hbar_{gr} value is fixed by the Equivalence Principle apart from a dimensionless velocity parameter $\beta_0 = v_0/c$, which for Sun is about 2^{-11} . In the TGD framework, \hbar_{gr} is proposed to be a genuine Planck constant [L1, L3] assignable to phases of the ordinary matter located ad field bodies and behaving like dark matter but not identifiable as galactic dark matter which is more like dark energy associated with cosmic strings in TGD. The proposal generalizes to long range electric fields [L4].

The following "numerical coincidence" is the key observation. White dwarf is a very dense object with a radius of about Earth radius and mass of the order of the mass of the Sun. What could this mean?

1. In the TGD based model of the Sun [L13] gravitational Compton length of the Sun, assuming Nottale's hypothesis for gravitational Planck constant, is very near to the the radius of the Earth. Could white dwarf be seen as a gravitationally dark object with a gravitational Compton length near to the Earth radius, an analog of an elementary particle?
2. In this model, the Sun would receive metabolic energy as M_{89} hadrons identifiable as scaled up copies of ordinary hadrons from the galactic center, possibly from the TGD counterpart of the galactic blackhole and these M_{89} hadrons would decay to ordinary hadrons and produce solar wind and solar radiation. The solar core would be something totally different, perhaps analogous to a cell nucleus.

Are stars living, metabolizing systems that are born, flourish, and die and whether the remnants of a star can give rise to a reincarnation of the star generating its own planetary system by these explosions as TGD counterparts for a smooth cosmic expansion? Do they form networks analogous to multicellular systems communicating using the signals propagating parallel to the monopole flux tubes?

3. In this framework the stragen observations about white dwarfs combined with the TGD view of the Sun and of the formation of planets inspires several questions. Did the predecessor of the Sun "die" and "reincarnate" as a white dwarf and produce outer planets in its explosion? Did the white dwarf explode and produce the recent Sun and the inner planets?

REFERENCES

Particle and Nuclear Physics

- [C1] Halim AA et al. (Pierre Auger Collaboration). Search for the Anomalous Events Detected by ANITA Using the Pierre Auger Observatory. *Phys Rev Lett*, 134(121003), 2025. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.134.121003>. .

Cosmology and Astro-Physics

- [E1] Nottale L Da Rocha D. Gravitational Structure Formation in Scale Relativity, 2003. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0310036>.
- [E2] Wold I et al. UNCOVERing the Faint-End of the $z = 7$ [OIII] Luminosity Function with JWST's F410M Medium Bandpass Filter, 2025. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.19023>.
- [E3] Kroupa P Gjergo E. The impact of early massive galaxy formation on the cosmic microwave bckground, 2025. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2505.04687>.

Books related to TGD

- [K1] Pitkänen M. Cosmic string model for the formation of galaxies and stars. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/galexystars.pdf>, 2023.
- [K2] Pitkänen M. Magnetic Bubbles in TGD Universe: Part I. In *Physics in Many-Sheeted Space-Time: Part II*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdclass2.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/magnbubble1.pdf>, 2023.

- [K3] Pitkänen M. Magnetic Bubbles in TGD Universe: Part II. In *Physics in Many-Sheeted Space-Time: Part II*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdclass2.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/magnbubble2.pdf>, 2023.
- [K4] Pitkänen M. New Physics Predicted by TGD: Part I. In *p-Adic Physics*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Bpadphys.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/TGDnewphys1.pdf>, 2023.
- [K5] Pitkänen M. New Physics Predicted by TGD: Part II. In *p-Adic Physics*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Bpadphys.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/TGDnewphys2.pdf>, 2023.
- [K6] Pitkänen M. TGD view about quasars. In *Physics in Many-Sheeted Space-Time: Part II*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdclass2.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/meco.pdf>, 2023.
- [K7] Pitkänen M. TGD view of the engine powering jets from active galactic nuclei. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/galjets.pdf>, 2023.

Articles about TGD

- [L1] Pitkänen M. About the physical interpretation of the velocity parameter in the formula for the gravitational Planck constant . Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/vzero.pdf, 2018.
- [L2] Pitkänen M. Some comments related to Zero Energy Ontology (ZEO). Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeoquestions.pdf, 2019.
- [L3] Pitkänen M. Comparison of Orch-OR hypothesis with the TGD point of view. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/penrose.pdf, 2022.
- [L4] Pitkänen M. About long range electromagnetic quantum coherence in TGD Universe. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/hem.pdf, 2023.
- [L5] Pitkänen M. Empirical Support for Expanding Earth Hypothesis. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/preCEagain.pdf, 2023.
- [L6] Pitkänen M. Expanding Earth Hypothesis and Pre-Cambrian Earth. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/preCE.pdf, 2023.
- [L7] Pitkänen M. Some new aspects of the TGD inspired model of the nerve pulse . https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/np2023.pdf, 2023.
- [L8] Pitkänen M. A fresh look at $M^8 - H$ duality and Poincare invariance. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TGDcritics.pdf, 2024.
- [L9] Pitkänen M. About Langlands correspondence in the TGD framework. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Frenkel.pdf, 2024.
- [L10] Pitkänen M. About the Recent TGD Based View Concerning Cosmology and Astrophysics. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/3pieces.pdf, 2024.
- [L11] Pitkänen M. Are planets and stars quantum gravitational harmonic oscillators? https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/planetmodel.pdf, 2024.
- [L12] Pitkänen M. Moon is mysterious. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/moonmysteries.pdf, 2024.
- [L13] Pitkänen M. Some solar mysteries. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Haremein.pdf, 2024.
- [L14] Pitkänen M. TGD as it is towards the end of 2024: part I. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TGD2024I.pdf, 2024.

- [L15] Pitkänen M. TGD as it is towards the end of 2024: part II. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TGD2024II.pdf, 2024.
- [L16] Pitkänen M. The blackhole that grew too fast, why Vega has no planets, and is dark energy needed at all? https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/BHVega.pdf, 2024.
- [L17] Pitkänen M. A more detailed view about the TGD counterpart of Langlands correspondence. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Langlands2025.pdf, 2025.
- [L18] Pitkänen M. About Dirac equation in $H = M^4 \times CP_2$ assuming Kähler structure for M^4 . https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/HJdireq.pdf, 2025.
- [L19] Pitkänen M. What makes the mini Big Bangs energetically possible? https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/minibbenerg.pdf, 2025.